DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 319 499 PS 018 781

AUTHOR	Easton, John Q.; Storey, Sandra
TITLE	June 1989 Grade Retention in Chicago Public Elementary Schools. Monitoring and Researching the Effects of School Reform in Chicago.
INSTITUTION	Chicago Panel on Public School Policy and Finance, IL.
SPONS AGENCY	Chicago Community Trust, Ill.; Spencer Foundation, Chicago, Ill.
PUB DATE	May 90
NOTE	45p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Boston, MA, April 16-20, 1990).
PUB TYPE	Reports - Research/Technical (143) -- Statistical Data (110)
EDRS PRICE	MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	*Community Characteristics; *Criteria; Educational Policy; Educational Practices; Elementary Education; *Grade Repetition; *Institutional Characteristics; *Public Schools; *School Districts; Statistical Studies; Tables (Data)
IDENTIFIERS	*Chicago Public Schools; Research Results

ABSTRACT

This report offers a review of the Chicago Public Schools' official policy on promotion and retention, a discussion of research on the issue of retention, and an analysis of June, 1989 retention rates in Chicago. The first section covers retention and promotion policies in Chicago and other cities and research on the effects of retention and promotion on student achievement and dropping out. The second section presents statistics about retention rates in the Chicago Public Schools. The third section lists June, 1989 retention rates for every grade in each graded elementary school. The schools are grouped by community so that neighboring schools can be compared. An index provides the district number and community of each school. (RH)

****
* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *
****

ED319499

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
X This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

MONITORING AND RESEARCHING THE EFFECTS OF SCHOOL REFORM IN CHICAGO

JUNE 1989 GRADE RETENTION IN CHICAGO PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

Prepared by

John Q. Easton
Director of Monitoring and Research

Sandra Storey
Research Analyst

May 1990

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
John Q. Easton
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

CHICAGO PANEL ON PUBLIC SCHOOL POLICY AND FINANCE
220 South State Street, Suite 1212 Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 939-2202

PS 018781

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

CHICAGO PANEL ON

PUBLIC SCHOOL POLICY AND FINANCE

Harold W. Smith, President
James Lucien & Arturo Jauregui, Vice Presidents
Lauren E. Allen, Treasurer; Carolyn Cordes, Secretary
Tee Gallay, Honorary President; G. Alfred Hess, Jr., Executive Director

The Chicago Panel is a coalition of the following member organizations

American Jewish Committee
Aspira Inc., of Illinois
Center for Neighborhood Technology
Chicago Region PTA
Chicago United
Chicago Urban League
Chicago Westside Branch N.A.A.C.P.
Citizens Schools Committee
Community Renewal Society
Erie Neighborhood House
Jewish Council on Urban Affairs
Junior League of Chicago, Inc.
Latino Institute
Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law
League of Women Voters of Chicago
Metropolitan Mission Strategy Organization of the United Methodist Church (Metro)
Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund
United Neighborhood Organization of Chicago
The Woodlawn Organization
Youth Guidance

Monitoring and Research Project Staff

John Q. Easton, Director of Monitoring and Research
Cheryl Johnson, Coordinator of Monitoring
Jesse Qualls, Research Analyst
Sandra Storey, Research Analyst

Major Funding for the Monitoring and Research Project is provided by

The Chicago Community Trust
The Field Foundation of Illinois
The Lloyd A. Fry Foundation
The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation
The Spencer Foundation
The Woods Charitable Fund

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1

THE EFFECTS OF RETENTION AND PROMOTION ON STUDENTS 2

- The Context of Grade Retention Data 2
- Criteria for Promotion/Retention Decisions in the Chicago Policy 2
- Research Evidence on Promotion or Retention 4
- Alternatives to Retention 5

ELEMENTARY RETENTION STATISTICS FOR JUNE 1989 8

- Grade by Grade Retention Rates 8
- Factors Related to School Retention Rates 10

MAP OF COMMUNITY AREAS 12

SCHOOL RETENTION RATES BY COMMUNITY AREA 13

INDEX OF SCHOOLS BY COMMUNITY AREA 32

REFERENCES 38

SUGGESTED READING 40

INTRODUCTION

Retention (requiring a student to repeat a grade) is an important policy issue because it can have a significant impact on achievement and the dropout rate. Though retention is often looked at as a result of a student's academic or social problems in school, research has shown that retention is also a cause of these and related difficulties. Many studies have shown that retention rarely improves achievement or gives students an advantage in later grades. In fact, retention may disrupt academic growth and increase the chances that students will drop out of school before graduating. Even though retention can have such a major effect on students' academic careers, statistics and research about retention in the Chicago Public Schools have not been sufficiently examined or publicly discussed in the past.

The purpose of this report is to review the Chicago Public Schools official policy on promotion and retention, discuss research on the issue of retention, and analyze June 1989 retention rates in Chicago. This paper contains three separate sections plus an index and reference section. The first section discusses retention and promotion policies in Chicago and other cities and reviews some research on the effects of retention and promotion on student achievement and dropping out. The second section presents statistics about retention rates in the Chicago Public Schools. The final section lists June 1989 retention rates for every grade in each graded elementary school. The schools are grouped by community area so that neighboring schools can be compared. An index follows to provide the community area for each school.

The Panel is studying retention rates as part of its project to monitor the implementation of school reform. One of the Reform Act objectives is a reduction in the retention rate:

> educational reform...shall be implemented in such a manner that:...by the conclusion of the 1993-94 school year, the percentage of students within the district failing and not advancing to the next higher grade or graduating is at least 10% less than the percentage of students within the district failing and not advancing to the next higher grade or graduating at the conclusion of the 1987-88 school year.[1]

The June 1989 retention data that is contained in this paper will be base line information for our future reports on how retention rates are affected by the implementation of school reform.

The Board of Education's Department of Research, Evaluation, and Planning collected the grade retention data examined in this report from elementary schools starting in June of 1989. The Department provided the Chicago Panel with this data in order to cooperate with the Panel's project **Monitoring School Reform in Chicago**. The Chicago Panel and the Chicago Board of Education, along with other research groups, plan to undertake several cooperative or collaborative endeavors related to assessing the impact of school reform in Chicago.

Note: All endnote references are listed on pages 38 and 39 of this report. Suggested reading is listed on page 40.

THE EFFECTS OF RETENTION AND PROMOTION ON STUDENTS

The Context of Grade Retention Data

Public schools in the past decade have been under increasing pressure to produce better prepared students--students who can read, write and compute mathematics at or near grade level.[2] In Chicago, educators and the public are rightfully alarmed at statistics that show that students often graduate from high school without having achieved competency in basic skills such as reading and mathematics. For example, in 1988-89, only 32.6% of eleventh grade Chicago public school students scored at or above grade level in reading on the nationally standardized *Test of Achievement and Proficiency* (*TAP*) and only 29.9% scored at or above grade level in mathematics on the same test. In order to keep Chicago up to national standards, at least 50% of students must score at or above grade level.

Like many other school boards during the 1980s, the Chicago Board of Education responded to the concern over lack of student competency by changing the standard by which elementary students were promoted from one grade to the next. The Board adopted a tougher promotion policy[3] in 1985 that based grade promotion decisions on a student's reading and math scores on the *Iowa Test of Basic Skills* (*ITBS*) as well as on completion of the curriculum.

This promotion policy requires that promotion decisions be based on a student's test scores which must be above certain minimum standards. The policy states that a "student *shall not* be promoted from one grade to the next if the student has not met the minimum levels of performance for the assigned grade levels."[4]

However, in direct contradiction to this requirement, the Board's policy also states that "...decisions must be made on an individual basis...bringing to bear all that is known about the student."[5] It states that grade retention should be a last resort to be used "only if all other intervention strategies have failed to bring the student up to minimum levels of performance and only if it is genuinely felt that the student will profit from an extra year in the elementary school."[6]

The policy thus offers two opposing criteria for retention/promotion decisions: one that bases retention decisions on the individual characteristics of the student, and the second that requires that the staff retain every child who does not reach a certain test score.

Because of the ambiguity of this promotion policy, staff at different schools have interpreted the policy in different ways. Some schools have looked at the overall performance of each student when making retention decisions, but many others have relied simply on the test score.

Criteria for Promotion/Retention Decisions in the Chicago Policy

The 1985 promotion policy was a response to what national critics perceived to be a lack of standards for public school students. Many people thought children were coasting through school and were merely passed on to a new teacher at the next grade even if they

had not achieved minimum grade level competency. The tougher promotion policy attempted to appease these critics by increasing the number of students who were retained in grade due to poor achievement test scores. This policy was supposed to give new accountability to teachers, who could not pass on low achieving students as easily, and to students, who could no longer expect to be promoted and graduate if they did not meet minimum requirements on a standardized test.

The desire to increase achievement and accountability is certainly laudable. Chicago students do need to reach national standards in order to be competitive in future education and employment. However, local school councils, principals, teachers and the Chicago Board of Education need to examine whether the current promotion policy will have a positive effect on education.

The current promotion policy has been criticized for using *ITBS* scores as a method of identifying students who have not learned enough. The most compelling objection to the use of *ITBS* scores for promotion decisions is that individual student scores are prone to error and are easily influenced by factors such as illness at the time of testing, mistakes in using the answer sheet, poor test-taking skills, and distractions in the test taking setting. Consequently, the test does not always reflect the knowledge of the student. David Labaree of Georgetown University argued this point in reference to the New York City Promotional Gates Program which had a policy of retaining any student who did not score at or above set minimums on the reading section of the *California Achievement Test*:

> Any achievement test score should be viewed statistically as a rough estimate of a student's true ability and thus is best expressed as a confidence interval rather that as a single figure...a number of the students who pass have true scores below the cutoff while a number of those who fail have true scores above the cutoff.[7]

Another valid criticism of using *ITBS* scores to make promotion decisions is that nationally standardized tests do not test students on their progress in the Chicago curriculum, but on their progress in what the test-makers consider to be what "most" schools teach at a particular grade level. Labaree also addressed this issue in his discussion of Promotional Gates:

> It is hardly valid or fair to evaluate what a student has learned on the basis of a test measuring what he or she has not been taught, or at least has not been exposed to in that particular form. National standardized tests are so abstract in their relation to particular curricula and instructional practices that their validity as measures of student learning should always be suspect.[8]

Labaree argues that if the test is to be a valid measure of student learning, it may mean that teachers must teach to the test, which most educators agree is an unfortunate sacrifice of curriculum for the sake of higher test scores.

The current Board has addressed the problems contained in the official promotion policy by establishing a committee to revise the policy. The new policy will probably contain different measures by which students are retained or promoted. However, even more important than whether or not the current policy uses correct criteria for

retention/promotion decisions is whether retention in grade will improve the student's education.

Research Evidence on Promotion or Retention

Most schools use retention as a method of improving the retained student's achievement. However, **the bulk of the research evidence on the effects of grade repetition show that retained students do not have higher achievement levels.** Although some researchers claim that grade retention is beneficial, many others have found that students are done more harm than good when they are forced to repeat a grade.

Gregg Jackson, author of a critical review of the research on the effects that retention has on achievement, believes that the reason why different studies have come up with opposite conclusions is that most of them contain inherent biases in their research design toward either grade retention or social promotion (the practice of promoting a student automatically).[9] Of the studies that did not contain bias, the data was not statistically significant. Jackson found from his research review that "There is no reliable body of evidence to indicate that grade retention is more beneficial than grade promotion...".[10] He argued that educators who hold students back "...do so without valid research evidence to indicate that [retention] will provide greater benefits to students with academic or adjustment difficulties than will promotion to the next grade."[11]

Most writers who have done extensive reviews of the research on the effects of grade retention tend to believe promotion is more likely to improve achievement than retention. According to Labaree, of the six major literature reviews in the ten years preceding the time he wrote his paper "not one of these writers adopts a position in support of retention. Three remain neutral on the policy question, while one...mildly favors social promotion, and the remaining two strongly support social promotion."[12]

C. Thomas Holmes, in his two meta-analyses of the research on retention and promotion, strongly supported social promotion because he found that grade retention actually inhibits the student's achievement: **"It seems that retained pupils fall behind during the year that they are retained and spend the rest of their academic careers in vain attempt to catch up."**[13] The later meta-analysis that Holmes coauthored came to a similar conclusion finding that the "potential for negative effects consistently outweighs positive outcomes."[14]

Monica Overman, in a research review she wrote for the *Phi Delta Kappan*, argued that the evidence showed that retention does not boost achievement:

> The tenor of the times may account, at least in part, for the current popularity of achievement-based promotion. But the evidence to date suggests that achievement-based promotion does not deal effectively with the problem of low achievement.[15]

In addition to having a negative effect (or, at best, no effect) on achievement, retention has been correlated to increased dropout rates. A study of the class of 1982 by the Chicago Panel found that **overage freshman are more likely to eventually drop out than normal age students who are at the same reading level. Overage students are also more likely to drop out than normal age freshman with lower scores.** For example, of

overage freshman who scored in the sixth stanine* or higher (high achievement), 37.9% eventually dropped out compared to only 18.3% for normal age freshman in stanine six or up and 27% of normal age freshman who scored in the fifth stanine (average achievement).[16] The pattern is repeated at other reading achievement levels. The overage dropout rate is approximately 11 to 15 percentage points higher than the normal age dropout rate when comparing students in the same reading stanine. When overage students are compared to normal age students in the next lower stanine, the overage dropout rate is at least six percentage points higher in almost every comparison.

Staff researchers at the Chicago Public Schools' Department of Research, Evaluation and Planning have also studied the effects of grade retention. One of their studies used a format comparable to the Chicago Panel study discussed above, but analyzed records of students in later classes.[17] This study reached findings similar to those in the Chicago Panel's study. A second study found that rigid 1980 promotion standards that affected only eighth graders resulted in an increase in the dropout rate for the class of 1985.[18]

Additional support for the research finding that retention increases the dropout rate has come recently from the New York City public schools. After ten years of the Promotional Gates program that required schools to retain large numbers of fourth and seventh graders based on poor test scores, the New York City Schools Chancellor, Joseph Fernandez, has decided to scrap the program. One of the reasons for eliminating Promotional Gates was its effect on the dropout rate. According to Fernandez, "The program may have been well-intended. But over all, it has not worked. A disproportionate number of kids are becoming dropouts."[19]

Alternatives to Retention

Though retention has many negative effects, social promotion by itself is not the solution to low achievement. Promotion may have fewer negative effects than retention, yet merely moving a low achieving student forward a grade is not enough. Students with academic difficulties need intervention in order to raise their achievement.

Charleston County School District in South Carolina recently developed a comprehensive new strategy to raise the achievement levels and the graduation rate of its students without using grade retention. Its diverse school district includes inner-city, suburban and rural students, many of whom are at-risk, low-achieving students. The new plan begins in the early grades and serves as an alternative to retention for low-achieving students while at the same time enhancing the education of all students. According to David Sklarz, deputy superintendent of curriculum and instruction, the program contains the following interventions:

1. High quality kindergarten programs that focus on reading readiness;

2. Individualized instruction including extra-help from teachers, computer assisted learning and students helping students;

---

*Standardized test scores are divided into nine scores, called stanines. Stanines 1, 2 and 3 are considered low achievement. Stanines 4, 5 and 6 are considered average achievement. Stanines 7, 8 and 9 are considered high achievement.

3. Strong emphasis on reading skills. Students are moved up to new reading groups as they progress, without waiting until the end of the semester to move them and regardless of age or grade level. All upper elementary, middle and high school teachers, not just English teachers, teach good reading and writing skills as well as their subject area;

4. Creative use of summer school. Summer school is given a positive image and is used to enrich students who might fall behind in the ten weeks they spend away from school. The summer school program is a non-graded learning experience that is meant to compensate for the at-risk student's lack of learning resources in the summer. The emphasis is on helping all at-risk students, not just those who have failed courses;

5. Incentive awards for teachers and encouragement for teachers to take assignments at schools with many at-risk students;

6. Parent workshops that teach parents how to actively participate in their children's schooling and how to reinforce good behavior. The program also offers a homework assistance hot-line for parents;

7. Alternative schools or programs for potential dropouts;

8. Improved school discipline that uses alternatives to suspension so that students will not miss school;

9. Special staff development programs that will show teachers and administrators the advantage of having high expectations of students. The goal is that the school staff will "...want all children to learn and...believe that all children *can* learn."[20]

New York City is also initiating a new tactic to deal with students who achieve below grade level. Instead of the Promotional Gates system of automatically retaining students who test poorly at the third grade level, students are offered a six week summer school program after third grade and an after school program during fourth grade. Students who participate in these programs are not retained.[21]

Other alternatives to grade retention have been recommended by educational researchers. One is the use of formal individualized education plans (IEPs). With IEPs, the school can promote low performing students to the next grade level but offer special help in problem areas. This intervention addresses the individual student's needs instead of merely promoting the student. The IEP also benefits higher achieving students by allowing them to do more advanced work while remaining with their age peers.[22]

Low achievers may also benefit from a curriculum that gives them meaningful work: for example, students can be given real world math problems instead of multiplication tables and drills. This has the dual effect of making education more interesting and increasing learning:

> The belief that children have to master component skills before moving on to comprehension and problem solving consigns slow learners to school work that is not only boring but devoid of any connection to the kinds of problems they encounter in the real world. Furthermore, current learning theory indicates that skills cannot be learned effectively nor applied to new problems unless they are learned in context.[23]

The solution to low achievement need not be retention. The best way to raise achievement is to intervene when a child is identified as a candidate for possible retention, and to restructure the student's educational program so that retention is not necessary. The school should also design its general approach to teaching and learning so that all students are taught in an environment where they can stay with their age group and learn at their own pace.

Schools should carefully assess the effects of different interventions on students over time to determine what learning approaches are most successful. Researchers at schools, at the central office of the Chicago Public Schools, and those at other agencies and organizations should study what alternatives to retention are the most effective methods of raising achievement and insuring successful high school completion.

ELEMENTARY RETENTION STATISTICS FOR JUNE 1989

In June 1989, Chicago public elementary schools retained 11,673 students out of an enrollment of 274,231. These retained or non-promoted students accounted for 4.3% of the total elementary school enrollment in graded schools. (This enrollment and retention data does not include non-graded special-education students and schools.)

Grade by Grade Retention Rates

Systemwide retention rates for June of 1989 widely differed between grades. The most retention occurred at the first grade where 2,999 students (8.9% of all first graders) were required to repeat the first grade. After first grade, the percentage of retained students steadily declined until the seventh and eighth grades where the retention rates increased slightly. The lowest retention rate is at the kindergarten level. Only 254 kindergarten students (0.9%) were retained in the spring of 1989. The retention rates for each grade are shown in the graph and accompanying table below.



Grade	# Students Enrolled	# Students Retained	% Students Retained
Kgn	27,999	254	0.9%
1st	33,736	2,999	8.9%
2nd	32,843	1,920	5.8%
3rd	34,319	1,513	4.4%
4th	32,223	1,193	3.7%
5th	29,850	1,017	3.4%
6th	29,210	883	3.0%
7th	27,226	903	3.3%
8th	26,827	991	3.7%
TOTAL	274,231	11,673	4.3%

Retention rates vary dramatically from one school to another within the Chicago Public School system. For example, although the citywide retention rate at the first grade is 8.9%, thirty-four schools have first grade retention rates that are two times greater than the citywide first grade retention rate.

The table below contains more detailed information about the distribution of retention rates for each grade. The table shows how many schools retained between 0% and 1.9%, 2.0% and 3.9%, 4.0% to 5.9%, etc., of their students. For example, 378 elementary schools (the number in the upper left hand corner) retained between 0% and 1.9% of their kindergarten students. 48 elementary schools retained between 2.0% and 3.9% of their first grade students, and so on. At most grade levels the majority of schools have retention rates of less than 4%. The exceptions are first and second grades.

NUMBER OF SCHOOLS BY PERCENT OF STUDENTS RETAINED BY GRADE LEVEL

RETENTION RATE	KGN	1ST	2ND	3RD	4TH	5TH	6TH	7TH	8TH
0% to 1.9%	378	81	145	179	211	231	253	246	281
2.0% to 3.9%	25	48	68	81	74	64	60	63	31
4.0% to 5.9%	13	51	57	61	54	52	45	30	11
6.0% to 7.9%	11	48	49	31	32	32	25	17	16
8.0% to 9.9%	6	44	25	33	28	21	14	10	11
10.0% to 11.9%	7	36	32	22	20	14	7	9	5
12.0% to 13.9%	2	38	23	6	6	4	9	6	7
14.0% to 15.9%	0	29	11	8	5	6	4	2	7
16.0% to 17.9%	0	27	7	8	6	3	3	4	6
18.0% to 19.9%	0	9	7	2	1	3	3	2	1
20% or more	1	23	11	5	4	10	6	8	19
Total number of schools by grade	443	434	436	436	441	439	429	397	395

Factors Related to School Retention Rates

Several factors are statistically related to school retention rates. Listed below are the factors, in order of importance, that predict what percent of students were retained in June of 1989. (It is important to note that these statistics are based on school averages, not on individual student records, so they do not necessarily indicate what factors affect an individual retention decision.)

1. **Attendance Rate.** On the average, the higher the overall attendance rate in a school, the lower the overall retention rate. Attendance and retention rates are not perfectly correlated, but this factor is more highly related to retention than any other factor.

2. **Achievement test scores.** On the average, the higher the achievement test scores, the lower the retention rates. Achievement test scores are not as strongly related to retention rates as attendance is. Although test score results are related to retention, many exceptions are found.

3. **Percent of Low Income Students.** Poorer schools tend to have higher retention rates than less poor schools. This is true on the average, but there are many exceptions of poor schools with low retention rates and schools with few poor students and higher retention rates.

4. **Mobility rate.** Schools that have higher percentages of students who move in or out of the school during the year tend to have slightly higher retention rates. This factor is the weakest predictor of retention.

The table on the following page contains the correlation coefficients between retention rates and the school factors listed above.

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN PERCENT OF STUDENTS RETAINED AND
OTHER SCHOOL CHARACTERISTICS

	NPK-8	NP3	NP6	NP8	TOT ENR	LOW INCOM	ATTD RATE	MOB-ILITY	3RD READ	6TH READ	8TH READ
NPK-8	1.00										
NP3	0.72	1.00									
NP6	0.60	0.45	1.00								
NP8	0.64	0.22	0.26	1.00							
TOT ENR	-0.01	0.03	0.05	-0.06	1.00						
LOW INCOM	0.27	0.27	0.18	0.20	0.21	1.00					
ATTD RATE	-0.50	-0.32	-0.21	-0.42	0.04	-0.44	1.00				
MOBILITY	0.16	0.22	0.07	0.18	-0.07	0.40	-0.40	1.00			
3RD READ	-0.38	-0.31	-0.22	-0.24	-0.26	-0.74	0.58	-0.47	1.00		
6TH READ	-0.29	-0.27	-0.20	-0.18	-0.25	-0.74	0.50	-0.39	0.77	1.00	
8TH READ	-0.31	-0.28	-0.12	-0.27	-0.15	-0.69	0.57	-0.53	0.77	0.78	1.00

The number of schools in this correlation matrix ranges between 367 and 485.

LEGEND

NPK-8 = % NOT PROMOTED WHOLE SCHOOL
NP3 = % NOT PROMOTED 3RD GRADE
NP6 = % NOT PROMOTED 6TH GRADE
NP8 = % NOT PROMOTED 8TH GRADE
TOT ENR = TOTAL ENROLLMENT
LOW INCOM = % OF LOW INCOME (FREE LUNCH) STUDENTS
ATTD RATE = ATTENDANCE RATE FOR WHOLE SCHOOL
MOBILITY = MOBILITY RATE FOR WHOLE SCHOOL
3RD READ = % STUDENTS AT OR ABOVE NATIONAL NORMS - 3RD GRADE ITBS READING
6TH READ = % STUDENTS AT OR ABOVE NATIONAL NORMS - 6TH GRADE ITBS READING
8TH READ = % STUDENTS AT OR ABOVE NATIONAL NORMS - 8TH GRADE ITBS READING

COMMUNITY AREAS
AS OF 1980 U.S. CENSUS
CITY OF CHICAGO
Richard M. Daley
Mayor
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING
David R. Mosena, Commissioner
LAKE MICHIGAN
COMMUNITY AREA NAMES
1. ROGERS PARK
2. WEST RIDGE
3. UPTOWN
4. LINCOLN SQUARE
5. NORTH CENTER
6. LAKE VIEW
7. LINCOLN PARK
8. NEAR NORTH SIDE
9. EDISON PARK
10. NORWOOD PARK
11. JEFFERSON PARK
12. FOREST GLEN
13. NORTH PARK
14. ALBANY PARK
15. PORTAGE PARK
16. IRVING PARK
17. DUNNING
18. MONTCLARE
19. BELMONT CRAGIN
20. HERMOSA
21. AVONDALE
22. LOGAN SQUARE
23. HUMBOLDT PARK
24. WEST TOWN
25. AUSTIN
26. WEST GARFIELD PARK
27. EAST GARFIELD PARK
28. NEAR WEST SIDE
29. NORTH LAWNDALE
30. SOUTH LAWNDALE
31. LOWER WEST SIDE
32. LOOP
33. NEAR SOUTH SIDE
34. ARMOUR SQUARE
35. DOUGLAS
36. OAKLAND
37. FULLER PARK
38. GRAND BOULEVARD
39. KENWOOD
40. WASHINGTON PARK
41. HYDE PARK
42. WOODLAWN
43. SOUTH SHORE
44. CHATHAM
45. AVALON PARK
46. SOUTH CHICAGO
47. BURNSIDE
48. CALUMET HEIGHTS
49. ROSELAND
50. PULLMAN
51. SOUTH DEERING
52. EAST SIDE
53. WEST PULLMAN
54. RIVERDALE
55. HEGEWISCH
56. GARFIELD RIDGE
57. ARCHER HEIGHTS
58. BRIGHTON PARK
59. McKINLEY PARK
60. BRIDGEPORT
61. NEW CITY
62. WEST ELSDON
63. GAGE PARK
64. CLEARING
65. WEST LAWN
66. CHICAGO LAWN
67. WEST ENGLEWOOD
68. ENGLEWOOD
69. GREATER GRAND CROSSING
70. ASHBURN
71. AUBURN GRESHAM
72. BEVERLY
73. WASHINGTON HEIGHTS
74. MOUNT GREENWOOD
75. MORGAN PARK
76. O'HARE
77. EDGEWATER

SCHOOL RETENTION RATES BY COMMUNITY AREA

The following pages list June 1989 retention rates for every grade in each graded Chicago Public elementary school. **The schools are listed alphabetically within geographic community area.** If you do not know a school's community area, look up the school in the index on pages 32-37. We have also included a map of community areas on the opposite page.

The retention rates for each school are listed on two separate pages that are arranged side by side. The retention rates for the whole school, kindergarten, first, second, and third grades are on the **left side**, and the retention rates for fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, and eighth grades are on the **right side**. The picture below demonstrates how the table is organized.



Community Area	Unit#	School name	WHOLE SCHOOL			KINDERGARTEN			FIRST GRADE			SECOND GRADE			THIRD GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
		CITYWIDE TOTALS	274,231	11,673	4.3%	27,999	254	0.9%	33,734	2,999	8.9%	32,843	1,920	5.8%	34,319	1,513	4.4%
1 Rogers Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	2,870	97	3.4%	377	13	3.4%	375	28	7.5%	325	12	3.7%	367	12	3.3%
	3350	FIELD	1,048	26	2.5%	122	1	0.8%	109	7	6.4%	122	4	3.3%	142	3	2.1%
	3480	GALE COMM ACADEMY	866	32	3.7%	116	10	8.6%	143	9	6.3%	82	3	3.7%	115	0	0.0%
	4300	KILMER	956	39	4.1%	139	2	1.4%	123	12	9.8%	121	5	4.1%	110	9	8.2%
2 West Ridge		COMMUNITY TOTALS	4,766	110	2.3%	437	2	0.5%	565	32	5.7%	568	14	2.5%	574	19	3.3%
	2080	ARMSTRONG GEORGE	755	31	4.1%	66	0	0.0%	87	8	9.2%	89	2	2.2%	97	8	8.2%
	2320	BOONE	772	10	1.3%	74	0	0.0%	98	4	4.1%	91	2	2.2%	88	3	3.4%
	2810	CLINTON	1,008	27	2.7%	88	0	0.0%	127	12	9.4%	118	3	2.5%	131	5	3.8%
	2990	DECATUR CLASSICAL	251	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	52	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%
	4180	JAMIESON	728	25	3.4%	70	0	0.0%	74	6	8.1%	91	4	4.4%	79	3	3.8%
	5630	ROGERS	538	7	1.3%	39	0	0.0%	59	1	1.7%	81	3	3.7%	60	0	0.0%
	6070	STONE SCHOL ACADEM	714	10	1.4%	72	2	2.8%	68	1	1.5%	68	0	0.0%	89	0	0.0%
3 Uptown		COMMUNITY TOTALS	5,217	158	3.0%	623	3	0.5%	729	54	7.4%	657	26	4.0%	679	31	4.6%
	4590	ARAI MIDDLE	780	15	1.9%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6600	BRENNEMANN	579	21	3.6%	81	0	0.0%	104	6	5.8%	97	6	6.2%	113	6	5.3%
	8000	DISNEY MAGNET	1,481	28	1.9%	165	0	0.0%	174	0	0.0%	194	6	3.1%	191	6	3.1%
	3590	GOUDY	535	26	4.9%	70	0	0.0%	103	21	20.4%	83	2	2.4%	45	0	0.0%
	6340	MARTI BILINGUAL BR	116	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	32	0	0.0%
	6910	MCCUTCHEON	615	33	5.4%	93	3	3.2%	123	10	8.1%	108	6	5.6%	107	8	7.5%
	6040	STEWART	396	10	2.5%	68	0	0.0%	78	4	5.1%	64	1	1.6%	72	4	5.6%
	6060	STOCKTON	715	25	3.5%	146	0	0.0%	147	13	8.8%	111	5	4.5%	119	7	5.9%
4 Lincoln Square		COMMUNITY TOTALS	2,751	82	3.0%	227	0	0.0%	329	25	7.6%	290	14	4.8%	326	10	3.1%
	2440	BUDLONG	719	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%	93	0	0.0%	88	0	0.0%	79	0	0.0%
	2750	CHAPPELL	444	18	4.1%	30	0	0.0%	57	10	17.5%	37	0	0.0%	51	0	0.0%
	4800	MCPHERSON	1,066	30	2.8%	98	0	0.0%	134	11	8.2%	105	6	5.7%	133	3	2.3%
	6370	WATERS	522	34	6.5%	45	0	0.0%	45	4	8.9%	60	8	13.3%	63	7	11.1%
5 North Center		COMMUNITY TOTALS	2,435	73	3.0%	210	2	1.0%	300	19	6.3%	272	5	1.8%	311	11	3.5%
	2110	AUDUBON	521	34	6.5%	49	2	4.1%	68	2	2.9%	47	0	0.0%	68	8	11.8%
	2270	BELL	542	3	0.6%	36	0	0.0%	66	1	1.5%	53	0	0.0%	65	0	0.0%
	2880	COONLEY	427	14	3.3%	46	0	0.0%	47	4	8.5%	51	2	3.9%	61	2	3.3%
	4170	JAHN	577	12	2.1%	52	0	0.0%	67	5	7.5%	70	3	4.3%	66	0	0.0%
	5790	SCHNEIDER	368	10	2.7%	27	0	0.0%	52	7	13.5%	51	0	0.0%	51	1	2.0%
6 Lake View		COMMUNITY TOTALS	4,227	150	3.5%	444	5	1.1%	557	48	8.6%	521	29	5.6%	505	20	4.0%
	2030	AGASSIZ	294	17	5.8%	35	2	5.7%	47	4	8.5%	37	7	18.9%	45	2	4.4%
	2300	BLAINE	464	16	3.4%	48	0	0.0%	62	10	16.1%	55	4	7.3%	47	1	2.1%
	2470	BURLEY	366	18	4.9%	32	0	0.0%	50	7	14.0%	47	4	8.5%	51	0	0.0%
	2730	GREELEY	537	11	2.0%	60	0	0.0%	64	5	7.8%	61	1	1.6%	55	1	1.8%
	3730	HAMILTON	447	6	1.3%	39	0	0.0%	55	1	1.8%	56	1	1.8%	59	1	1.7%
	3830	HAWTHORNE SCHOL A	527	8	1.5%	75	1	1.3%	74	3	4.1%	74	0	0.0%	67	3	4.5%
	4890	INTER-AMERICAN MAG	513	21	4.1%	54	0	0.0%	60	5	8.3%	55	3	5.5%	60	3	5.0%
	4440	LEMOYNE	249	20	8.0%	19	1	5.3%	26	4	15.4%	34	1	2.9%	30	5	16.7%
	5070	NETTELHORST	309	8	2.6%	30	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	27	3	11.1%	29	1	3.4%
	5550	RAVENSWOOD	521	25	4.8%	52	1	1.9%	78	9	11.5%	75	5	6.7%	62	3	4.8%
7 Lincoln Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	3,493	215	6.2%	278	6	2.2%	384	31	13.3%	396	22	5.6%	417	35	8.4%
	2040	ALCOTT	350	16	4.6%	42	3	7.1%	49	6	12.2%	41	2	4.9%	46	3	6.5%
	4420	LASALLE LANG ACADE	560	4	0.7%	62	0	0.0%	66	0	0.0%	60	0	0.0%	63	0	0.0%
	4480	LINCOLN	539	6	1.1%	38	1	2.6%	58	2	3.4%	50	0	0.0%	68	0	0.0%
	4680	MAYER	631	20	3.2%	37	2	5.4%	60	4	6.7%	85	1	1.2%	82	1	1.2%
	5010	MULLIGAN	297	34	11.4%	20	0	0.0%	32	5	15.6%	41	10	24.4%	45	11	24.4%
	5080	NEWBERRY MAGNET	630	8	1.3%	52	0	0.0%	58	3	5.2%	60	3	5.0%	59	1	1.7%
	5500	PRESCOTT	486	127	26.1%	27	0	0.0%	61	31	50.8%	59	6	10.2%	54	19	35.2%
8 Near North Side		COMMUNITY TOTALS	3,027	124	4.1%	346	5	1.4%	349	24	6.9%	402	28	7.0%	343	14	4.1%
	2560	BYRD COMM ACADEMY	502	20	4.0%	49	4	8.2%	68	4	5.9%	65	0	0.0%	60	2	3.3%
	4581	FERGUSON CPC	45	0	0.0%	45	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3420	FRANKLIN MAGNET	357	4	1.1%	58	0	0.0%	27	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%	30	2	6.7%
	4200	JENNER	507	43	8.5%	56	0	0.0%	49	8	16.3%	72	10	13.9%	71	6	8.5%
	4580	MANIERRE	434	14	3.2%	26	1	3.8%	60	5	8.3%	66	8	12.1%	58	0	0.0%
	5150	OGDEN	484	7	1.4%	39	0	0.0%	44	2	4.5%	46	3	6.5%	42	1	2.4%
	5760	SCHILLER	247	17	6.9%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7900	TRUTH PRIMARY	451	19	4.2%	73	0	0.0%	101	5	5.0%	97	7	7.2%	82	3	3.7%
9 Edison Park	3150	EBINGER	290	9	3.1%	46	0	0.0%	22	0	0.0%	31	2	6.5%	30	1	3.3%
10 Norwood Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,571	28	1.8%	151	0	0.0%	190	13	6.8%	185	3	1.6%	172	2	1.2%
	2220	EDISON COMP GIFT	232	0	0.0%	27	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%
	3510	GARVY JOHN	319	12	3.8%	32	0	0.0%	44	5	11.4%	34	0	0.0%	38	1	2.6%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	FOURTH GRADE			FIFTH GRADE			SIXTH GRADE			SEVENTH GRADE			EIGHTH GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
		CITYWIDE TOTALS	32,223	1,193	3.7%	29,850	1,017	3.4%	29,210	883	3.0%	27,226	903	3.3%	26,827	991	3.7%
1	Rogers Park	COMMUNITY TOTALS	323	5	1.5%	318	7	2.2%	290	10	3.4%	252	8	3.2%	243	2	0.8%
	3350	FIELD	112	1	0.9%	123	3	2.4%	118	1	0.8%	101	5	5.0%	99	1	1.0%
	3480	GALE COMM ACADEMY	101	2	2.0%	90	0	0.0%	91	6	6.6%	67	1	1.5%	61	1	1.6%
	4300	KILMER	110	2	1.8%	105	4	3.8%	81	3	3.7%	84	2	2.4%	83	0	0.0%
2	West Ridge	COMMUNITY TOTALS	560	10	1.8%	550	15	2.7%	568	8	1.4%	481	6	1.2%	463	4	0.9%
	2080	ARMSTRONG GEORGE	95	6	6.3%	101	1	1.0%	83	3	3.6%	68	2	2.9%	69	1	1.4%
	2320	BOONE	97	0	0.0%	67	0	0.0%	95	1	1.1%	70	0	0.0%	92	0	0.0%
	2810	CLINTON	99	0	0.0%	110	7	6.4%	118	0	0.0%	115	0	0.0%	102	0	0.0%
	2990	DECATUR CLASSICAL	32	0	0.0%	57	0	0.0%	22	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4180	JAMIESON	77	2	2.6%	79	4	5.1%	90	2	2.2%	100	4	4.0%	68	0	0.0%
	5630	ROGERS	61	2	3.3%	66	0	0.0%	68	1	1.5%	55	0	0.0%	49	0	0.0%
	6070	STONE SCHOL ACADEM	99	0	0.0%	70	3	4.3%	92	1	1.1%	73	0	0.0%	83	3	3.6%
3	Uptown	COMMUNITY TOTALS	620	14	2.3%	524	6	1.1%	493	14	2.8%	446	7	1.6%	446	3	0.7%
	4590	ARAI MIDDLE	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	255	6	2.4%	254	6	2.4%	271	3	1.1%
	6600	BRENNEMANN	102	2	2.0%	82	1	1.2%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	8000	DISNEY MAGNET	194	8	4.1%	151	2	1.3%	156	5	3.2%	142	1	0.7%	114	0	0.0%
	3590	GOUDY	46	0	0.0%	17	0	0.0%	60	3	5.0%	50	0	0.0%	61	0	0.0%
	6340	MARTI BILINGUAL BR	36	0	0.0%	48	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6910	MCCUTCHEON	79	3	3.8%	83	3	3.6%	22	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6040	STEWART	59	1	1.7%	55	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6060	STOCKTON	104	0	0.0%	88	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
4	Lincoln Square	COMMUNITY TOTALS	325	14	4.3%	304	9	3.0%	303	4	1.3%	316	4	1.3%	331	2	0.6%
	2440	BUDLONG	76	0	0.0%	65	0	0.0%	84	0	0.0%	86	0	0.0%	94	0	0.0%
	2750	CHAPPELL	51	3	5.9%	60	4	6.7%	51	1	2.0%	47	0	0.0%	60	0	0.0%
	4800	MCPHERSON	135	7	5.2%	118	2	1.7%	99	0	0.0%	125	1	0.8%	119	0	0.0%
	6370	WATERS	63	4	6.3%	61	3	4.9%	69	3	4.3%	58	3	5.2%	58	2	3.4%
5	North Center	COMMUNITY TOTALS	285	12	4.2%	280	2	0.7%	264	1	0.4%	256	5	2.0%	257	16	6.2%
	2110	AUDUBON	74	7	9.5%	54	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	55	0	0.0%	48	15	31.3%
	2270	BELL	66	1	1.5%	68	0	0.0%	66	0	0.0%	58	1	1.7%	64	0	0.0%
	2880	COONLEY	38	2	5.3%	49	2	4.1%	40	0	0.0%	47	2	4.3%	48	0	0.0%
	4170	JAHN	63	2	3.2%	65	0	0.0%	71	1	1.4%	57	0	0.0%	66	1	1.5%
	5790	SCHNEIDER	44	0	0.0%	44	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%	39	2	5.1%	31	0	0.0%
6	Lake View	COMMUNITY TOTALS	489	10	2.0%	442	11	2.5%	446	7	1.6%	391	12	3.1%	432	8	1.9%
	2030	AGASSIZ	26	0	0.0%	22	1	4.5%	22	1	4.5%	30	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%
	2300	BLAINE	52	1	1.9%	46	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%	49	0	0.0%	51	0	0.0%
	2470	BURLEY	45	1	2.2%	37	3	8.1%	30	0	0.0%	23	3	13.0%	51	0	0.0%
	2730	GREELEY	61	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%	67	2	3.0%	59	2	3.4%	54	0	0.0%
	3730	HAMILTON	57	1	1.8%	56	2	3.6%	44	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%
	3830	HAWTHORNE SCHOL A	69	1	1.4%	46	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%	39	0	0.0%
	4890	INTER-AMERICAN MAG	61	1	1.6%	56	0	0.0%	61	0	0.0%	55	4	7.3%	51	5	9.8%
	4440	LEMOYNE	33	0	0.0%	25	5	20.0%	28	1	3.6%	28	2	7.1%	26	1	3.8%
	5070	NETTELHORST	34	1	2.9%	44	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	33	1	3.0%	30	2	6.7%
	5550	RAVENSWOOD	51	4	7.8%	54	0	0.0%	53	3	5.7%	37	0	0.0%	59	0	0.0%
7	Lincoln Park	COMMUNITY TOTALS	408	8	2.0%	431	30	7.0%	404	22	5.4%	403	34	8.4%	372	7	1.9%
	2040	ALCOTT	38	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%	35	0	0.0%	28	2	7.1%	28	0	0.0%
	4420	LASALLE LANG ACADE	66	0	0.0%	61	0	0.0%	63	2	3.2%	60	2	3.3%	59	0	0.0%
	4480	LINCOLN	75	0	0.0%	58	2	3.4%	65	1	1.5%	70	0	0.0%	57	0	0.0%
	4680	MAYER	80	0	0.0%	74	8	10.8%	71	2	2.8%	68	2	2.9%	74	0	0.0%
	5010	MULLIGAN	32	2	6.3%	32	5	15.6%	35	1	2.9%	34	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%
	5080	NEWBERRY MAGNET	62	0	0.0%	94	0	0.0%	86	0	0.0%	82	0	0.0%	77	1	1.3%
	5500	PRESCOTT	55	6	10.9%	69	15	21.7%	49	16	32.7%	61	28	45.9%	51	6	11.8%
8	Near North Side	COMMUNITY TOTALS	331	9	2.7%	326	16	4.9%	322	5	1.6%	305	2	0.7%	303	21	6.9%
	2560	BYRD COMM ACADEMY	67	3	4.5%	52	1	1.9%	57	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%	41	6	14.6%
	4581	FERGUSON CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3420	FRANKLIN MAGNET	27	0	0.0%	32	1	3.1%	32	1	3.1%	32	0	0.0%	63	0	0.0%
	4200	JENNER	50	2	4.0%	67	3	4.5%	42	0	0.0%	60	0	0.0%	40	14	35.0%
	4580	MANIERRE	50	0	0.0%	53	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%
	5150	OGDEN	39	0	0.0%	47	0	0.0%	79	0	0.0%	78	0	0.0%	70	1	1.4%
	5760	SCHILLER	0	0	n/a	75	11	14.7%	71	4	5.6%	55	2	3.6%	46	0	0.0%
	7900	TRUTH PRIMARY	98	4	4.1%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
9	Edison Park	3150 EBINGER	31	1	3.2%	41	2	4.9%	34	2	5.9%	20	1	5.0%	35	0	0.0%
10	Norwood Park	COMMUNITY TOTALS	197	5	2.5%	146	1	0.7%	186	1	0.5%	176	1	0.6%	168	2	1.2%
	2220	EDISON COMP GIFT	27	0	0.0%	25	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	20	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%
	3510	GARVY JOHN	41	2	4.9%	24	1	4.2%	31	0	0.0%	45	1	2.2%	30	2	6.7%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	WHOLE SCHOOL			KINDERGARTEN			FIRST GRADE			SECOND GRADE			THIRD GRADE		
			Enrl	#Rct.	%Rct.	Enrl	#Rct.	%Rct.	Enrl	#Rct.	%Rct.	Enrl	#Rct.	%Rct.	Enrl	#Rct.	%Rct.
10 Norwood Park (continued)	4010	HITCH	354	6	1.7%	39	0	0.0%	50	3	6.0%	46	0	0.0%	33	0	0.0%
	5120	NORWOOD PARK	172	4	2.3%	7	0	0.0%	24	1	4.2%	13	1	7.7%	17	1	5.9%
	5190	ONAHAN	269	3	1.1%	21	0	0.0%	20	1	5.0%	32	2	6.3%	32	0	0.0%
	5200	ORIOLE PARK	225	3	1.3%	25	0	0.0%	23	3	13.0%	34	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%
11 Jefferson Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	819	30	3.7%	81	2	2.5%	102	7	6.9%	86	2	2.3%	109	10	9.2%
	2240	BEAUBIEN	465	26	5.6%	48	1	2.1%	63	7	11.1%	50	2	4.0%	63	10	15.9%
	3280	FARNSWORTH	354	4	1.1%	33	1	3.0%	39	0	0.0%	36	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%
12 Forest Glen		COMMUNITY TOTALS	354	16	4.5%	46	2	4.3%	32	3	9.4%	39	7	17.9%	31	1	3.2%
	3170	EDGEBROOK	219	12	5.5%	17	2	11.8%	21	3	14.3%	26	5	19.2%	22	1	4.5%
	6470	WILDWOOD	135	4	3.0%	29	0	0.0%	11	0	0.0%	13	2	15.4%	9	0	0.0%
13 North Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,180	45	3.8%	95	0	0.0%	125	17	13.6%	146	6	4.1%	144	0	0.0%
	5410	PETERSON	652	20	3.1%	50	0	0.0%	68	7	10.3%	83	1	1.2%	87	0	0.0%
	5690	SAUGANASH	270	15	5.6%	27	0	0.0%	30	5	16.7%	29	4	13.8%	31	0	0.0%
	5980	SOLOMON	258	10	3.9%	18	0	0.0%	27	5	18.5%	34	1	2.9%	26	0	0.0%
14 Albany Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	3,481	91	2.6%	462	0	0.0%	461	22	4.8%	440	19	4.3%	391	2	0.5%
	3810	HAUGAN	1,424	40	2.8%	174	0	0.0%	192	7	3.6%	166	5	3.0%	173	0	0.0%
	4000	HIBBARD	706	18	2.5%	179	0	0.0%	115	8	7.0%	116	8	6.9%	96	2	2.1%
	5260	PALMER	478	6	1.3%	37	0	0.0%	45	1	2.2%	62	3	4.8%	55	0	0.0%
	6270	VOLTA	696	12	1.7%	72	0	0.0%	109	6	5.5%	96	3	3.1%	67	0	0.0%
	6290	VON STEUBEN U C	177	15	8.5%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
15 Portage Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	2,287	137	6.0%	204	0	0.0%	236	16	6.8%	260	18	6.9%	270	14	5.2%
	3620	GRAY	628	64	10.2%	59	0	0.0%	58	9	15.5%	63	12	19.0%	72	11	15.3%
	5490	PORTAGE PARK	597	52	8.7%	31	0	0.0%	66	3	4.5%	70	4	5.7%	76	3	3.9%
	5510	PRUSSING	401	15	3.7%	61	0	0.0%	48	2	4.2%	52	1	1.9%	42	0	0.0%
	5600	REINBERG	292	3	1.0%	24	0	0.0%	27	0	0.0%	32	1	3.1%	34	0	0.0%
	5960	SMYSER	369	3	0.8%	29	0	0.0%	37	2	5.4%	43	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%
16 Irving Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	4,819	169	3.5%	433	6	1.4%	605	65	10.7%	576	28	4.9%	593	23	3.9%
	2190	BATEMAN	970	38	3.9%	108	0	0.0%	113	19	16.8%	124	7	5.6%	120	4	3.3%
	2260	BELDING	496	30	6.0%	44	1	2.3%	69	11	15.9%	66	11	16.7%	61	2	3.3%
	2800	CLEVELAND	466	13	2.8%	45	0	0.0%	58	8	13.8%	44	0	0.0%	64	4	6.3%
	3940	HENRY	700	41	5.9%	82	5	6.1%	94	14	14.9%	73	2	2.7%	94	7	7.4%
	4150	IRVING PARK	474	1	0.2%	0	0	n/a	44	1	2.3%	69	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%
	5020	MURPHY	423	28	6.6%	33	0	0.0%	48	10	20.8%	47	0	0.0%	55	3	5.5%
	5590	REILLY	723	0	0.0%	65	0	0.0%	118	0	0.0%	83	0	0.0%	86	0	0.0%
	5730	SCAMMON	567	18	3.2%	56	0	0.0%	61	2	3.3%	70	8	11.4%	59	3	5.1%
17 Dunning		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,939	48	2.5%	230	0	0.0%	223	7	3.1%	216	8	3.7%	229	5	2.2%
	2380	BRIDGE	439	3	0.7%	46	0	0.0%	49	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%	53	0	0.0%
	2620	CANTY	288	16	5.6%	48	0	0.0%	29	4	13.8%	31	2	6.5%	28	3	10.7%
	3020	DEVER	527	6	1.1%	53	0	0.0%	60	2	3.3%	59	2	3.4%	57	0	0.0%
	6190	THORP O A SCHOL ACA	685	23	3.4%	83	0	0.0%	85	1	1.2%	86	4	4.7%	91	2	2.2%
18 Montclare	4510	LOCKE	577	20	3.5%	26	0	0.0%	59	12	20.3%	51	1	2.0%	60	0	0.0%
19 Belmont Cragin		COMMUNITY TOTALS	3,639	74	2.0%	332	0	0.0%	410	21	5.1%	356	3	0.8%	421	13	3.1%
	2450	BURBANK	756	7	0.9%	64	0	0.0%	90	3	3.3%	76	0	0.0%	105	1	1.0%
	3270	FALCONER	679	5	0.7%	60	0	0.0%	93	0	0.0%	71	1	1.4%	72	1	1.4%
	4770	HANSON PARK	509	4	0.8%	34	0	0.0%	45	2	4.4%	46	0	0.0%	68	0	0.0%
	4500	LLOYD	662	14	2.1%	92	0	0.0%	92	4	4.3%	74	1	1.4%	69	3	4.3%
	4560	LYON	499	20	4.0%	31	0	0.0%	40	6	15.0%	37	0	0.0%	51	5	9.8%
	5800	SCHUBERT	534	24	4.5%	51	0	0.0%	50	6	12.0%	52	1	1.9%	56	3	5.4%
20 Hermosa		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,584	37	2.3%	201	0	0.0%	205	17	8.3%	174	8	4.6%	198	3	1.5%
	2160	BARRY	635	11	1.7%	62	0	0.0%	66	6	9.1%	70	2	2.9%	70	3	4.3%
	5100	NIXON	949	26	2.7%	139	0	0.0%	139	11	7.9%	104	6	5.8%	128	0	0.0%
21 Avondale		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,677	20	1.2%	136	0	0.0%	186	6	3.2%	192	2	1.0%	196	2	1.0%
	2140	AVONDALE	1,030	6	0.6%	93	0	0.0%	109	3	2.8%	126	1	0.8%	115	0	0.0%
	4490	LINNE	647	14	2.2%	43	0	0.0%	77	3	3.9%	66	1	1.5%	81	2	2.5%
22 Logan Square		COMMUNITY TOTALS	9,265	209	2.3%	966	0	0.0%	1117	53	4.7%	1090	26	2.4%	1154	25	2.2%
	2370	BRENTANO	1,114	22	2.0%	73	0	0.0%	112	8	7.1%	141	4	2.8%	144	5	3.5%
	2760	CHASE	748	31	4.1%	78	0	0.0%	100	10	10.0%	91	5	5.5%	73	1	1.4%
	2960	DARWIN	1,349	40	3.0%	131	0	0.0%	173	9	5.2%	157	5	3.2%	194	8	4.1%
	3120	DRUMMOND	408	24	5.9%	33	0	0.0%	44	4	9.1%	56	0	0.0%	51	2	3.9%
	3460	FUNSTON	831	0	0.0%	147	0	0.0%	115	0	0.0%	88	0	0.0%	100	0	0.0%
	3560	GOETHE	958	0	0.0%	98	0	0.0%	106	0	0.0%	101	0	0.0%	123	0	0.0%
	4850	MONROE	921	16	1.7%	94	0	0.0%	111	5	4.5%	104	2	1.9%	128	2	1.6%
	5000	MOZART	676	5	0.7%	132	0	0.0%	92	5	5.4%	82	0	0.0%	75	0	0.0%
	5520	PULASKI	1,196	40	3.3%	93	0	0.0%	148	5	3.4%	150	3	2.0%	145	2	1.4%
	6510	YATES	1,064	31	2.9%	87	0	0.0%	116	7	6.0%	120	7	5.8%	121	5	4.1%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	FOURTH GRADE			FIFTH GRADE			SIXTH GRADE			SEVENTH GRADE			EIGHTH GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
10 Norwood Park (continued)	4010	HITCH	52	2	3.8%	27	0	0.0%	35	1	2.9%	35	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%
	5120	NORWOOD PARK	22	1	4.5%	18	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%	19	0	0.0%
	5190	ONAHAN	32	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%
	5200	ORIOLE PARK	23	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%	21	0	0.0%
11 Jefferson Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	84	5	6.0%	84	1	1.2%	86	1	1.2%	91	2	2.2%	96	0	0.0%
	2240	BEAUBIEN	52	4	7.7%	47	0	0.0%	48	1	2.1%	45	1	2.2%	49	0	0.0%
	3280	FARNSWORTH	32	1	3.1%	37	1	2.7%	38	0	0.0%	46	1	2.2%	47	0	0.0%
12 Forest Glen		COMMUNITY TOTALS	37	0	0.0%	39	0	0.0%	43	2	4.7%	44	1	2.3%	43	0	0.0%
	3170	EDGEBROOK	24	0	0.0%	25	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%	29	1	3.4%	26	0	0.0%
	6470	WILDWOOD	13	0	0.0%	14	0	0.0%	14	2	14.3%	15	0	0.0%	17	0	0.0%
13 North Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	133	6	4.5%	130	7	5.4%	127	3	2.4%	144	4	2.8%	136	2	1.5%
	5410	PETERSON	74	2	2.7%	67	2	3.0%	68	2	2.9%	81	4	4.9%	74	2	2.7%
	5690	SAUGANASH	29	1	3.4%	31	5	16.1%	30	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	33	0	0.0%
	5980	SOLOMON	30	3	10.0%	32	0	0.0%	29	1	3.4%	33	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%
14 Albany Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	359	4	1.1%	323	4	1.2%	319	12	3.8%	334	8	2.4%	392	20	5.1%
	3810	HAUGAN	165	2	1.2%	128	3	2.3%	131	12	9.2%	137	0	0.0%	158	11	7.0%
	4000	HIBBARD	73	0	0.0%	68	0	0.0%	59	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5260	PALMER	44	0	0.0%	52	0	0.0%	62	0	0.0%	59	0	0.0%	62	2	3.2%
	6270	VOLTA	77	2	2.6%	75	1	1.3%	67	0	0.0%	62	0	0.0%	71	0	0.0%
	6290	VON STEUBEN U C	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	76	8	10.5%	101	7	6.9%
15 Portage Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	270	21	7.8%	262	8	3.1%	251	15	6.0%	277	24	8.7%	257	21	8.2%
	3620	GRAY	82	13	15.9%	70	2	2.9%	66	8	12.1%	88	7	8.0%	70	2	2.9%
	5490	PORTAGE PARK	77	5	6.5%	69	0	0.0%	59	5	8.5%	74	16	21.6%	75	16	21.3%
	5510	PRUSSING	35	2	5.7%	45	5	11.1%	49	2	4.1%	35	0	0.0%	34	3	8.8%
	5600	REINBERG	34	1	2.9%	33	1	3.0%	36	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%	35	0	0.0%
	5960	SMYSER	42	0	0.0%	45	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	43	1	2.3%	43	0	0.0%
16 Irving Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	537	8	1.5%	523	16	3.1%	530	8	1.5%	503	7	1.4%	519	8	1.5%
	2190	BATEMAN	101	1	1.0%	108	1	0.9%	109	1	0.9%	102	2	2.0%	85	3	3.5%
	2260	BELDING	57	0	0.0%	54	2	3.7%	58	1	1.7%	36	2	5.6%	51	0	0.0%
	2800	CLEVELAND	50	0	0.0%	42	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%	55	1	1.8%	44	0	0.0%
	3940	HENRY	64	4	6.3%	69	5	7.2%	71	3	4.2%	67	1	1.5%	86	0	0.0%
	4150	IRVING PARK	64	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	60	0	0.0%	61	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%
	5020	MURPHY	37	3	8.1%	48	6	12.5%	46	2	4.3%	55	1	1.8%	54	3	5.6%
	5590	REILLY	100	0	0.0%	74	0	0.0%	59	0	0.0%	66	0	0.0%	72	0	0.0%
	5730	SCAMMON	64	0	0.0%	70	2	2.9%	63	1	1.6%	61	0	0.0%	63	2	3.2%
17 Dunning		COMMUNITY TOTALS	221	7	3.2%	201	10	5.0%	207	7	3.4%	207	2	1.0%	205	2	1.0%
	2380	BRIDGE	51	0	0.0%	41	1	2.4%	51	0	0.0%	57	1	1.8%	51	1	2.0%
	2620	CANTY	32	3	9.4%	28	2	7.1%	36	2	5.6%	23	0	0.0%	33	0	0.0%
	3020	DEVER	57	0	0.0%	48	0	0.0%	63	0	0.0%	65	1	1.5%	65	1	1.5%
	6190	THORP O A SCHOL ACA	81	4	4.9%	84	7	8.3%	57	5	8.8%	62	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%
18 Montclare	4510	LOCKE	62	0	0.0%	67	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%	92	5	5.4%	96	2	2.1%
19 Belmont Cragin		COMMUNITY TOTALS	401	15	3.7%	403	13	3.2%	457	1	0.2%	423	3	0.7%	436	5	1.1%
	2450	BURBANK	72	0	0.0%	80	0	0.0%	114	0	0.0%	70	0	0.0%	85	3	3.5%
	3270	FALCONER	65	0	0.0%	79	2	2.5%	84	0	0.0%	76	0	0.0%	79	1	1.3%
	4770	HANSON PARK	67	1	1.5%	61	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%	60	0	0.0%	64	1	1.6%
	4500	LLOYD	79	3	3.8%	62	2	3.2%	50	1	2.0%	79	0	0.0%	65	0	0.0%
	4560	LYON	58	6	10.3%	59	2	3.4%	78	0	0.0%	70	1	1.4%	75	0	0.0%
	5800	SCHUBERT	60	5	8.3%	62	7	11.3%	67	0	0.0%	68	2	2.9%	68	0	0.0%
20 Hermosa		COMMUNITY TOTALS	177	0	0.0%	135	0	0.0%	162	0	0.0%	163	2	1.2%	169	7	4.1%
	2160	BARRY	74	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%	67	0	0.0%	87	0	0.0%	85	0	0.0%
	5100	NIXON	103	0	0.0%	81	0	0.0%	95	0	0.0%	76	2	2.6%	84	7	8.3%
21 Avondale		COMMUNITY TOTALS	213	2	0.9%	189	4	2.1%	181	3	1.7%	185	1	0.5%	199	0	0.0%
	2140	AVONDALE	146	0	0.0%	112	0	0.0%	104	1	1.0%	115	1	0.9%	110	0	0.0%
	4490	LINNE	67	2	3.0%	77	4	5.2%	77	2	2.6%	70	0	0.0%	89	0	0.0%
22 Logan Square		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1098	20	1.8%	979	20	2.0%	942	10	1.1%	932	6	0.6%	987	49	5.0%
	2370	BRENTANO	146	0	0.0%	113	1	0.9%	136	0	0.0%	132	0	0.0%	117	4	3.4%
	2760	CHASE	92	8	8.7%	71	2	2.8%	85	1	1.2%	74	4	5.4%	84	0	0.0%
	2960	DARWIN	172	5	2.9%	148	0	0.0%	129	3	2.3%	114	1	0.9%	131	9	6.9%
	3120	DRUMMOND	57	2	3.5%	44	2	4.5%	44	2	4.5%	41	1	2.4%	38	11	28.9%
	3460	FUNSTON	84	0	0.0%	74	0	0.0%	70	0	0.0%	60	0	0.0%	93	0	0.0%
	3560	GOETHE	111	0	0.0%	125	0	0.0%	107	0	0.0%	88	0	0.0%	99	0	0.0%
	4850	MONROE	123	1	0.8%	118	4	3.4%	83	0	0.0%	85	0	0.0%	75	2	2.7%
	5000	MOZART	62	0	0.0%	59	0	0.0%	55	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%	55	0	0.0%
	5520	PULASKI	137	1	0.7%	140	5	3.6%	135	1	0.7%	113	0	0.0%	135	23	17.0%
	6510	YATES	114	3	2.6%	87	6	6.9%	98	3	3.1%	161	0	0.0%	160	0	0.0%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	WHOLE SCHOOL			KINDERGARTEN			FIRST GRADE			SECOND GRADE			THIRD GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
23 Humboldt Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	9,773	198	2.0%	1039	0	0.0%	1172	56	4.8%	1154	21	1.8%	1254	30	2.4%
	2610	CAMERON	1,569	22	1.4%	176	0	0.0%	181	4	2.2%	201	11	5.5%	256	7	2.7%
	4540	LOWELL	1,381	40	2.9%	150	0	0.0%	161	20	12.4%	156	3	1.9%	163	6	3.7%
	4900	MORSE	608	0	0.0%	96	0	0.0%	93	0	0.0%	110	0	0.0%	110	0	0.0%
	6800	MORTON U C	476	15	3.2%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7410	MORTON EVGC BR	115	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5110	NOBEL	866	22	2.5%	153	0	0.0%	157	10	6.4%	132	4	3.0%	114	4	3.5%
	5210	PICCOLO ELEM	1,564	2	0.1%	121	0	0.0%	149	0	0.0%	151	0	0.0%	212	2	0.9%
	5680	RYERSON	626	28	4.5%	71	0	0.0%	94	10	10.6%	88	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%
	6080	STOWE	1,425	55	3.9%	145	0	0.0%	175	7	4.0%	174	3	1.7%	184	7	3.8%
	5470	WARD LAURA	728	0	0.0%	88	0	0.0%	100	0	0.0%	90	0	0.0%	99	0	0.0%
	7500	WRIGHT	415	14	3.4%	39	0	0.0%	62	5	8.1%	52	0	0.0%	58	4	6.9%
24 West Town		COMMUNITY TOTALS	12,476	472	3.8%	1350	7	0.5%	1665	169	10.2%	1376	82	5.2%	1612	38	2.4%
	2060	ANDERSEN	730	38	5.2%	73	0	0.0%	79	4	5.1%	105	7	6.7%	103	9	8.7%
	7310	ANDERSEN EVGC BR	67	3	4.5%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	2530	BURR	505	28	5.5%	61	0	0.0%	57	5	8.8%	72	8	11.1%	68	0	0.0%
	2640	CARPENTER	702	21	3.0%	83	0	0.0%	81	5	6.2%	88	9	10.2%	85	1	1.2%
	2770	CHOPIN	757	51	6.7%	48	3	6.3%	67	10	14.9%	51	3	5.9%	52	1	1.9%
	2850	COLUMBUS	367	12	3.3%	45	0	0.0%	76	9	11.8%	62	2	3.2%	52	1	1.9%
	7420	DIEGO COMM ACAD	1,286	35	2.7%	156	0	0.0%	180	7	3.9%	137	0	0.0%	140	5	3.6%
	4380	KOSCIUSZKO	1,094	49	4.5%	123	0	0.0%	157	29	18.5%	138	9	6.5%	135	0	0.0%
	4400	LAFAYETTE	1,064	38	3.6%	145	0	0.0%	189	23	12.2%	137	7	5.1%	165	0	0.0%
	4840	MITCHELL	591	17	2.9%	65	0	0.0%	71	10	14.1%	87	0	0.0%	68	1	1.5%
	4870	MOOS	828	29	3.5%	87	0	0.0%	129	13	10.1%	140	8	5.7%	132	3	2.3%
	5220	OTIS	694	31	4.5%	66	1	1.5%	91	13	14.3%	78	4	5.1%	100	4	4.0%
	5330	PEABODY	652	33	5.1%	84	0	0.0%	91	16	17.6%	86	6	7.0%	81	3	3.7%
	6460	PRITZKER	555	30	5.4%	31	0	0.0%	81	11	13.6%	80	10	12.5%	74	4	5.4%
	7790	SABIN MAGNET	484	9	1.9%	51	1	2.0%	62	2	3.2%	54	0	0.0%	73	0	0.0%
	6140	TALCOTT	879	26	3.0%	105	2	1.9%	116	2	1.7%	122	3	2.5%	125	4	3.2%
	6280	VON HUMBOLDT	1,178	22	1.9%	84	0	0.0%	138	10	7.2%	139	6	4.3%	159	2	1.3%
	6282	VON HUMBOLDT CPC	43	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
25 Austin		COMMUNITY TOTALS	13,853	947	6.8%	1492	5	0.3%	1833	212	11.6%	1716	141	8.2%	1874	138	7.4%
	5700	ARMSTRONG LOUIS	217	13	6.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	89	13	14.6%
	7320	ARMSTRONG LOUIS BR	226	11	4.9%	75	0	0.0%	84	11	13.1%	67	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a
	2550	BYFORD	624	39	6.3%	78	0	0.0%	112	11	9.8%	91	8	8.8%	128	12	9.4%
	6620	CLARK MIDDLE SCHOO	477	23	4.8%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	8050	DEPRIEST	469	17	3.6%	69	0	0.0%	89	9	10.1%	76	6	7.9%	68	2	2.9%
	6630	DOUGLASS MIDDLE	691	114	16.5%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3220	ELLINGTON	428	16	3.7%	62	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	28	1	3.6%	106	5	4.7%
	3221	ELLINGTON BR	228	22	9.6%	24	0	0.0%	120	17	14.2%	84	5	6.0%	0	0	n/a
	3230	EMMET	641	33	5.1%	66	0	0.0%	77	7	9.1%	51	1	2.0%	75	8	10.7%
	7040	HAY BRANCH	380	23	6.1%	0	0	n/a	59	5	8.5%	119	12	10.1%	104	5	4.8%
	3840	HAY COMM ACADEMY	1,031	69	6.7%	156	5	3.2%	128	10	7.8%	65	2	3.1%	98	7	7.1%
	3910	HEFFERAN	680	45	6.6%	65	0	0.0%	87	19	21.8%	81	15	18.5%	86	4	4.7%
	4060	HOWE	1,201	66	5.5%	125	0	0.0%	150	22	14.7%	164	6	3.7%	147	13	8.8%
	4280	KEY	876	0	0.0%	118	0	0.0%	140	0	0.0%	141	0	0.0%	149	0	0.0%
	4450	LEWIS	643	46	7.2%	93	0	0.0%	89	15	16.9%	101	10	9.9%	112	12	10.7%
	4530	LOVETT	461	13	2.8%	37	0	0.0%	63	6	9.5%	48	0	0.0%	59	0	0.0%
	6590	MARCONI COMM ACAD	536	38	7.1%	58	0	0.0%	86	15	17.4%	53	3	5.7%	67	13	19.4%
	4670	MAY	854	95	11.1%	64	0	0.0%	109	0	0.0%	112	21	18.8%	124	15	12.1%
	5050	NASH	1,055	36	3.4%	100	0	0.0%	109	17	15.6%	133	8	6.0%	138	4	2.9%
	5720	SAYRE LANG ACADEMY	399	9	2.3%	49	0	0.0%	59	1	1.7%	52	3	5.8%	48	0	0.0%
	6000	SPENCER	859	143	16.6%	131	0	0.0%	146	22	15.1%	148	34	23.0%	153	24	15.7%
	6520	YOUNG	877	76	8.7%	122	0	0.0%	126	25	19.8%	102	6	5.9%	123	1	0.8%
26 W. Garfield Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	3,731	184	4.9%	340	0	0.0%	488	65	13.3%	454	23	5.1%	459	27	5.9%
	3000	DELANO	622	14	2.3%	45	0	0.0%	80	5	6.3%	75	2	2.7%	88	0	0.0%
	7840	GOLDBLATT	663	53	8.0%	64	0	0.0%	91	15	16.5%	76	9	11.8%	92	12	13.0%
	7190	MELODY	607	47	7.7%	87	0	0.0%	88	25	28.4%	71	4	5.6%	65	3	4.6%
	6110	SUMNER	548	21	3.8%	41	0	0.0%	76	11	14.5%	59	1	1.7%	67	6	9.0%
	6210	TILTON	731	15	2.1%	79	0	0.0%	83	4	4.8%	89	0	0.0%	81	0	0.0%
	6380	WEBSTER	560	34	6.1%	24	0	0.0%	70	5	7.1%	84	7	8.3%	66	6	9.1%
27 E. Garfield Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	6,087	446	7.3%	758	19	2.5%	779	114	14.6%	703	57	8.1%	775	62	8.0%
	2250	BEIDLER	398	3	0.8%	58	0	0.0%	71	3	4.2%	83	0	0.0%	69	0	0.0%
	8020	BETHUNE	694	81	11.7%	74	0	0.0%	99	37	37.4%	83	10	12.0%	91	10	11.0%
	6610	CALHOUN NORTH	675	35	5.2%	95	1	1.1%	105	14	13.3%	95	1	1.1%	79	9	11.4%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	FOURTH GRADE			FIFTH GRADE			SIXTH GRADE			SEVENTH GRADE			EIGHTH GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
23 Humboldt Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1085	18	1.7%	1053	3	0.3%	1079	28	2.6%	921	5	0.5%	1016	37	3.6%
	2610	CAMERON	204	0	0.0%	214	0	0.0%	148	0	0.0%	101	0	0.0%	88	0	0.0%
	4540	LOWELL	185	4	2.2%	140	1	0.7%	134	5	3.7%	142	1	0.7%	150	0	0.0%
	4900	MORSE	89	0	0.0%	110	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6800	MORTON U C	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	180	13	7.2%	149	2	1.3%	147	0	0.0%
	7410	MORTON EVGC BR	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	15	0	0.0%	100	0	0.0%
	5110	NOBEL	100	4	4.0%	89	0	0.0%	60	0	0.0%	36	0	0.0%	25	0	0.0%
	5210	PICCOLO ELEM	174	0	0.0%	157	0	0.0%	186	0	0.0%	215	0	0.0%	199	0	0.0%
	5680	RYERSON	74	6	8.1%	66	0	0.0%	77	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	68	12	17.6%
	6080	STOWE	140	2	1.4%	165	1	0.6%	158	10	6.3%	148	0	0.0%	136	25	18.4%
	5470	WARD LAURA	78	0	0.0%	70	0	0.0%	83	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%
	7500	WRIGHT	41	2	4.9%	42	1	2.4%	53	0	0.0%	29	2	6.9%	39	0	0.0%
24 West Town		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1477	30	2.0%	1276	32	2.5%	1284	34	2.6%	1109	58	5.2%	1127	22	2.0%
	2060	ANDERSEN	87	4	4.6%	67	4	6.0%	84	1	1.2%	73	0	0.0%	59	9	15.3%
	7310	ANDERSEN EVOC BR	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	29	0	0.0%	38	3	7.9%
	2530	BURR	52	3	5.8%	60	1	1.7%	44	1	2.3%	49	10	20.4%	42	0	0.0%
	2640	CARPENTER	71	3	4.2%	65	0	0.0%	82	3	3.7%	81	0	0.0%	66	0	0.0%
	2770	CHOPIN	56	1	1.8%	47	1	2.1%	60	0	0.0%	189	30	15.9%	187	2	1.1%
	2850	COLUMBUS	62	0	0.0%	36	0	0.0%	34	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7420	DIEGO COMM ACAD	128	0	0.0%	119	1	0.8%	133	13	9.8%	133	9	6.8%	160	0	0.0%
	4380	KOSCIUSZKO	128	1	0.8%	100	1	1.0%	117	7	6.0%	92	0	0.0%	104	2	1.9%
	4400	LAFAYETTE	161	0	0.0%	145	8	5.5%	122	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4840	MITCHELL	74	0	0.0%	66	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%	57	3	5.3%	39	3	7.7%
	4870	MOOS	123	0	0.0%	107	4	3.7%	110	1	0.9%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5220	OTIS	89	2	2.2%	80	4	5.0%	69	3	4.3%	47	0	0.0%	74	0	0.0%
	5330	PEABODY	63	2	3.2%	59	2	3.4%	61	1	1.6%	59	0	0.0%	68	3	4.4%
	6460	PRITZKER	68	4	5.9%	55	1	1.8%	62	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%
	7790	SABIN MAGNET	70	4	5.7%	58	0	0.0%	45	0	0.0%	43	2	4.7%	28	0	0.0%
	6140	TALCOTT	88	3	3.4%	79	5	6.3%	73	4	5.5%	94	3	3.2%	77	0	0.0%
	6280	VON HUMBOLDT	157	3	1.9%	133	0	0.0%	124	0	0.0%	123	1	0.8%	121	0	0.0%
	6282	VON HUMBOLDT CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
25 Austin		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1600	82	5.1%	1496	80	5.3%	1356	91	6.7%	1287	65	5.1%	1199	133	11.1%
	5700	ARMSTRONG LOUIS	66	0	0.0%	62	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7320	ARMSTRONG LOUIS BR	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	2550	BYFORD	90	6	6.7%	86	2	2.3%	39	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6620	CLARK MIDDLE SCHOO	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	104	1	1.0%	187	11	5.9%	186	11	5.9%
	8050	DEPRIEST	88	0	0.0%	79	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6630	DOUGLASS MIDDLE	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	203	9	4.4%	243	22	9.1%	245	83	33.9%
	3220	ELLINGTON	90	4	4.4%	86	1	1.2%	56	5	8.9%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3221	ELLINGTON BR	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3230	EMMET	60	1	1.7%	72	3	4.2%	84	6	7.1%	92	7	7.6%	64	0	0.0%
	7040	HAY BRANCH	98	1	1.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3840	HAY COMM ACADEMY	66	3	4.5%	144	0	0.0%	136	24	17.6%	118	3	2.5%	120	15	12.5%
	3910	HEFFERAN	90	7	7.8%	88	0	0.0%	66	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%	61	0	0.0%
	4060	HOWE	145	2	1.4%	126	6	4.8%	115	4	3.5%	123	3	2.4%	106	10	9.4%
	4280	KEY	140	0	0.0%	136	0	0.0%	18	0	0.0%	22	0	0.0%	12	0	0.0%
	4450	LEWIS	70	0	0.0%	52	0	0.0%	57	7	12.3%	36	2	5.6%	33	0	0.0%
	4530	LOVETT	64	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%	41	1	2.4%	51	1	2.0%	52	5	9.6%
	6590	MARCONI COMM ACAD	70	4	5.7%	55	0	0.0%	49	3	6.1%	50	0	0.0%	48	0	0.0%
	4670	MAY	96	7	7.3%	106	28	26.4%	96	18	18.8%	74	1	1.4%	73	5	6.8%
	5050	NASH	131	3	2.3%	116	4	3.4%	119	0	0.0%	107	0	0.0%	102	0	0.0%
	5720	SAYRE LANG ACADEMY	51	1	2.0%	44	0	0.0%	27	2	7.4%	33	2	6.1%	36	0	0.0%
	6000	SPENCER	118	36	30.5%	105	19	18.1%	58	8	13.8%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6520	YOUNG	67	7	10.4%	93	17	18.3%	88	3	3.4%	95	13	13.7%	61	4	6.6%
26 W. Garfield Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	449	26	5.8%	398	14	3.5%	418	8	1.9%	376	11	2.9%	349	10	2.9%
	3000	DELANO	68	1	1.5%	64	3	4.7%	62	0	0.0%	74	0	0.0%	66	3	4.5%
	7840	GOLDBLATT	75	4	5.3%	69	3	4.3%	63	4	6.3%	69	6	8.7%	64	0	0.0%
	7190	MELODY	81	6	7.4%	62	4	6.5%	66	0	0.0%	45	5	11.1%	42	0	0.0%
	6110	SUMNER	69	3	4.3%	43	0	0.0%	80	0	0.0%	55	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%
	6210	TILTON	87	6	6.9%	93	3	3.2%	82	2	2.4%	74	0	0.0%	63	0	0.0%
	6380	WEBSTER	69	6	8.7%	67	1	1.5%	65	2	3.1%	59	0	0.0%	56	7	12.5%
27 E. Garfield Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	726	44	6.1%	662	46	6.9%	573	28	4.9%	500	22	4.4%	611	54	8.8%
	2250	BEIDLER	62	0	0.0%	55	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	8020	BETHUNE	77	7	9.1%	75	7	9.3%	78	8	10.3%	62	1	1.6%	55	1	1.8%
	6610	CALHOUN NORTH	83	1	1.2%	67	6	9.0%	54	2	3.7%	49	1	2.0%	48	0	0.0%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	WHOLE SCHOOL			KINDERGARTEN			FIRST GRADE			SECOND GRADE			THIRD GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
27 E. Garfield Park (continued)	6730	CATHER	491	12	2.4%	76	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%	60	3	5.0%	63	3	4.8%
	4311	DICKENS CPC	57	5	8.8%	57	5	8.8%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3050	DODGE	399	49	12.3%	44	0	0.0%	43	5	11.6%	54	15	27.8%	50	9	18.0%
	3240	ERICSON	634	42	6.6%	81	7	8.6%	89	7	7.9%	85	9	10.6%	74	4	5.4%
	4640	FARADAY	721	60	8.3%	68	0	0.0%	99	14	14.1%	62	7	11.3%	91	10	11.0%
	3660	GREGORY	658	37	5.6%	72	0	0.0%	89	20	22.5%	67	7	10.4%	95	3	3.2%
	6920	JENSEN SCHOL ACADE	683	34	5.0%	51	0	0.0%	75	5	6.7%	72	1	1.4%	98	10	10.2%
	4310	KING ELEM	480	37	7.7%	57	5	8.8%	63	9	14.3%	42	4	9.5%	65	4	6.2%
	6921	MILLER CPC	25	1	4.0%	25	1	4.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7140	ROENTGEN EVGC	172	50	29.1%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
28 Near West Side		COMMUNITY TOTALS	6,881	465	6.8%	823	17	2.1%	863	70	8.1%	837	54	6.5%	905	69	7.6%
	2400	BROWN	450	47	10.4%	54	1	1.9%	57	2	3.5%	51	6	11.8%	68	10	14.7%
	6740	DETT	458	20	4.4%	54	1	1.9%	62	3	4.8%	53	5	9.4%	47	2	4.3%
	3540	GLADSTONE	435	47	10.8%	45	1	2.2%	57	6	10.5%	57	7	12.3%	68	6	8.8%
	3610	GRANT	966	106	11.0%	128	2	1.6%	138	40	29.0%	130	13	10.0%	146	24	16.4%
	3950	HERBERT	348	51	14.7%	59	6	10.2%	35	6	17.1%	47	6	12.8%	40	12	30.0%
	5350	IRVING	332	8	2.4%	21	6	28.6%	34	1	2.9%	41	1	2.4%	41	0	0.0%
	4690	JACKSON LANG ACAD	522	15	2.9%	50	0	0.0%	39	2	5.4%	61	2	3.3%	60	0	0.0%
	4190	JEFFERSON	382	28	7.3%	35	0	0.0%	42	1	2.4%	31	6	19.4%	57	0	0.0%
	5971	JOYNER CPC	40	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4820	MEDILL INT UPPER	385	35	9.1%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4810	MEDILL PRIMARY	554	13	2.3%	107	0	0.0%	113	2	1.8%	118	3	2.5%	141	0	0.0%
	5620	RIIS	469	29	6.2%	62	0	0.0%	51	2	3.9%	56	0	0.0%	58	3	5.2%
	5940	SKINNER CLASSICAL	190	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%
	5970	SMYTH	796	35	4.4%	71	0	0.0%	104	0	0.0%	99	2	2.0%	86	8	9.3%
	5990	SPALDING ELEM PHY	31	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6090	SUDER	523	31	5.9%	73	0	0.0%	83	5	6.0%	63	3	4.8%	64	4	6.3%
29 North Lawndale		COMMUNITY TOTALS	8,482	499	5.9%	959	5	0.5%	1115	126	11.3%	1077	96	8.9%	1029	45	4.4%
	2740	CHALMERS	496	28	5.6%	42	0	0.0%	79	11	13.9%	70	7	10.0%	64	6	9.4%
	6760	DVORAK	594	17	2.9%	71	0	0.0%	87	12	13.8%	71	3	4.2%	73	0	0.0%
	7780	FRAZIER	533	52	9.8%	50	0	0.0%	70	8	11.4%	77	1	1.3%	53	0	0.0%
	6381	HANSBERRY CPC	43	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6570	HENSON	357	11	3.1%	20	0	0.0%	63	5	7.9%	50	3	6.0%	45	0	0.0%
	3970	HERZL	688	47	6.8%	64	0	0.0%	95	16	16.8%	85	12	14.1%	74	2	2.7%
	4070	HOWLAND	484	17	3.5%	57	0	0.0%	58	5	8.6%	61	6	9.8%	72	3	4.2%
	4110	HUGHES CHARLES	469	46	9.8%	53	2	3.8%	64	6	9.4%	77	10	13.0%	57	10	17.5%
	6940	JOHNSON	302	15	5.0%	27	0	0.0%	45	7	15.6%	47	5	10.6%	39	2	5.1%
	6941	JOHNSON CPC	26	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6750	LATHROP	557	35	6.3%	54	0	0.0%	59	9	15.3%	73	10	13.7%	64	3	4.7%
	4430	LAWNDALE COMM ACA	963	23	2.4%	88	0	0.0%	107	8	7.5%	118	10	8.5%	130	0	0.0%
	4650	MASON	1,470	48	3.3%	123	0	0.0%	190	10	5.3%	179	7	3.9%	203	6	3.0%
	4651	MASON CPC	37	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6571	OLIVE CPC	39	0	0.0%	39	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5370	PENN	643	87	13.5%	70	0	0.0%	82	9	11.0%	78	9	11.5%	70	6	8.6%
	5450	PLAMONDON	268	15	5.6%	26	0	0.0%	43	7	16.3%	33	5	15.2%	25	0	0.0%
	5480	POPE	513	58	11.3%	69	3	4.3%	73	13	17.8%	58	8	13.8%	60	7	11.7%
30 South Lawndale		COMMUNITY TOTALS	12,650	399	3.2%	1297	16	1.2%	1484	162	10.9%	1454	69	4.7%	1452	64	4.4%
	2510	BURNS	985	17	1.7%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4320	CARDENAS	937	61	6.5%	234	0	0.0%	242	41	16.9%	235	14	6.0%	226	6	2.7%
	2910	CORKERY	929	27	2.9%	86	0	0.0%	109	5	4.6%	115	3	2.6%	108	12	11.1%
	2940	CROWN COMM ACAD	700	28	4.0%	50	0	0.0%	69	2	2.9%	64	3	4.7%	91	7	7.7%
	3520	GARY	1,885	69	3.7%	202	0	0.0%	235	38	16.2%	228	14	6.1%	226	10	4.4%
	3750	HAMMOND	905	24	2.7%	123	0	0.0%	158	16	10.1%	155	2	1.3%	145	5	3.4%
	3370	KANOON MAGNET	773	10	1.3%	61	0	0.0%	89	3	3.4%	84	1	1.2%	88	5	5.7%
	4720	MCCORMICK	1,480	54	3.6%	169	0	0.0%	203	29	14.3%	182	8	4.4%	182	9	4.9%
	6930	PADEREWSKI	475	26	5.5%	63	0	0.0%	58	8	13.8%	61	8	13.1%	72	4	5.6%
	4250	SAUCEDO MAGNET	1,043	10	1.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6010	SPRY	1,471	60	4.1%	185	9	4.9%	204	17	8.3%	200	13	6.5%	178	6	3.4%
	6440	WHITNEY	1,067	13	1.2%	124	7	5.6%	117	3	2.6%	130	3	2.3%	136	0	0.0%
31 Lower West Side		COMMUNITY TOTALS	6,058	315	5.2%	716	21	2.9%	835	44	5.3%	797	33	4.1%	720	28	3.9%
	2890	COOPER PRIM INTER	968	35	3.6%	122	8	6.6%	131	4	3.1%	135	3	2.2%	137	1	0.7%
	4231	COSTILLA BR JUNGMAN	77	5	6.5%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	13	1	7.7%	15	0	0.0%
	4230	JUNGMAN	461	16	3.5%	82	1	1.2%	98	3	3.1%	91	3	3.3%	83	2	2.4%
	4232	JUNGMAN BR	87	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4370	KOMENSKY	489	39	8.0%	69	0	0.0%	91	11	12.1%	68	8	11.8%	64	8	12.5%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	FOURTH GRADE			FIFTH GRADE			SIXTH GRADE			SEVENTH GRADE			EIGHTH GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
27 E. Garfield Park (continued)	6730	CATHER	58	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%	55	3	5.5%	51	2	3.9%	36	1	2.8%
	4311	DICKENS CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3050	DODGE	66	6	9.1%	47	13	27.7%	32	1	3.1%	30	0	0.0%	33	0	0.0%
	3240	ERICSON	80	4	5.0%	62	3	4.8%	57	2	3.5%	50	4	8.0%	56	2	3.6%
	4640	FARADAY	93	9	9.7%	87	10	11.5%	84	8	9.5%	67	2	3.0%	70	0	0.0%
	3660	GREGORY	60	2	3.3%	83	2	2.4%	68	1	1.5%	68	2	2.9%	56	0	0.0%
	6920	JENSEN SCHOL ACADE	76	11	14.5%	89	2	2.2%	84	0	0.0%	73	5	6.8%	65	0	0.0%
	4310	KING ELEM	71	4	5.6%	51	3	5.9%	61	3	4.9%	34	5	14.7%	36	0	0.0%
	6921	MILLER CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7140	ROENTGEN EVOC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	16	0	0.0%	156	50	32.1%
28 Near West Side		COMMUNITY TOTALS	876	63	7.2%	777	44	5.7%	757	75	9.9%	581	41	7.1%	462	32	6.9%
	2400	BROWN	67	5	7.5%	41	10	24.4%	60	13	21.7%	28	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%
	6740	DETT	57	1	1.8%	48	3	6.3%	51	5	9.8%	47	0	0.0%	39	0	0.0%
	3540	GLADSTONE	52	9	17.3%	53	4	7.5%	53	10	18.9%	28	3	10.7%	22	1	4.5%
	3610	GRANT	116	10	8.6%	90	5	5.6%	85	5	5.9%	81	7	8.6%	52	0	0.0%
	3950	HERBERT	42	11	26.2%	41	0	0.0%	38	8	21.1%	21	2	9.5%	25	0	0.0%
	3350	IRVING	33	0	0.0%	45	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%	36	0	0.0%
	4690	JACKSON LANG ACAD	62	4	6.5%	63	4	6.3%	63	3	4.8%	58	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%
	4190	JEFFERSON	59	3	5.1%	47	4	8.5%	51	7	13.7%	27	2	7.4%	33	5	15.2%
	5971	JOYNER CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4820	MEDILL INT UPPER	42	5	11.9%	112	3	2.7%	90	9	10.0%	74	12	16.2%	67	6	9.0%
	4810	MEDILL PRIMARY	75	8	10.7%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5620	RIIS	63	1	1.6%	50	6	12.0%	55	3	5.5%	52	9	17.3%	22	5	22.7%
	5940	SKINNER CLASSICAL	28	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5970	SMYTH	110	4	3.6%	89	0	0.0%	95	7	7.4%	82	4	4.9%	60	10	16.7%
	5990	SPALDING ELEM PHY	6	0	0.0%	8	0	0.0%	4	0	0.0%	5	0	0.0%	8	0	0.0%
	6090	SUDER	64	2	3.1%	62	5	8.1%	48	5	10.4%	38	2	5.3%	28	5	17.9%
29 North Lawndale		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1012	48	4.7%	861	50	5.8%	837	29	3.5%	781	15	1.9%	811	85	10.5%
	2740	CHALMERS	59	0	0.0%	46	2	4.3%	51	0	0.0%	34	0	0.0%	51	2	3.9%
	6760	DVORAK	55	0	0.0%	61	2	3.3%	60	0	0.0%	59	0	0.0%	57	0	0.0%
	7780	FRAZIER	62	15	24.2%	54	4	7.4%	63	3	4.8%	49	0	0.0%	55	21	38.2%
	6381	HANSBERRY CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6570	HENSON	36	2	5.6%	37	1	2.7%	27	0	0.0%	33	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%
	3970	HERZL	91	5	5.5%	73	5	6.8%	72	5	6.9%	72	0	0.0%	62	2	3.2%
	4070	HOWLAND	45	0	0.0%	44	0	0.0%	50	3	6.0%	43	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%
	4110	HUGHES CHARLES	50	4	8.0%	37	7	18.9%	45	0	0.0%	44	0	0.0%	42	7	16.7%
	6940	JOHNSON	32	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	21	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%	26	1	3.8%
	6941	JOHNSON CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6750	LATHROP	88	0	0.0%	57	4	7.0%	50	0	0.0%	46	2	4.3%	66	7	10.6%
	4430	LAWNDALE COMM ACA	131	1	0.8%	102	1	1.0%	104	3	2.9%	92	0	0.0%	91	0	0.0%
	4650	MASON	187	9	4.8%	157	16	10.2%	144	0	0.0%	155	0	0.0%	132	0	0.0%
	4651	MASON CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6571	OLIVE CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5370	PENN	82	6	7.3%	79	7	8.9%	68	13	19.1%	45	4	8.9%	69	33	47.8%
	5450	PLAMONDON	33	1	3.0%	22	1	4.5%	30	1	3.3%	31	0	0.0%	25	0	0.0%
	5480	POPE	61	5	8.2%	51	0	0.0%	52	1	1.9%	54	9	16.7%	35	12	34.3%
30 South Lawndale		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1508	40	2.7%	1407	16	1.1%	1404	22	1.6%	1367	4	0.3%	1277	6	0.5%
	2510	BURNS	235	10	4.3%	180	5	2.8%	192	2	1.0%	182	0	0.0%	196	0	0.0%
	4320	CARDENAS	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	2910	CORKERY	120	2	1.7%	104	1	1.0%	111	3	2.7%	89	1	1.1%	87	0	0.0%
	2940	CROWN COMM ACAD	86	6	7.0%	82	1	1.2%	83	3	3.6%	88	0	0.0%	87	6	6.9%
	3520	GARY	233	1	0.4%	198	4	2.0%	195	2	1.0%	194	0	0.0%	174	0	0.0%
	3750	HAMMOND	117	1	0.9%	120	0	0.0%	87	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3370	KANOON MAGNET	68	1	1.5%	85	0	0.0%	95	0	0.0%	92	0	0.0%	111	0	0.0%
	4720	MCCORMICK	172	2	1.2%	171	2	1.2%	152	4	2.6%	131	0	0.0%	118	0	0.0%
	6930	PADEREWSKI	59	2	3.4%	43	2	4.7%	44	1	2.3%	39	1	2.6%	36	0	0.0%
	4250	SAUCEDO MAGNET	158	6	3.8%	189	0	0.0%	202	4	2.0%	258	0	0.0%	236	0	0.0%
	6010	SPRY	136	9	6.6%	132	1	0.8%	134	3	2.2%	169	2	1.2%	133	0	0.0%
	6440	WHITNEY	124	0	0.0%	103	0	0.0%	109	0	0.0%	125	0	0.0%	99	0	0.0%
31 Lower West Side		COMMUNITY TOTALS	684	37	5.4%	693	28	4.0%	583	4	0.7%	568	114	20.1%	462	6	1.3%
	2890	COOPER PRIM INTER	131	4	3.1%	174	13	7.5%	138	2	1.4%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4231	COSTILLA BR JUNGMAN	49	4	8.2%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4230	JUNGMAN	35	4	11.4%	63	3	4.8%	9	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4232	JUNGMAN BR	0	0	n/a	24	0	0.0%	63	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4370	KOMENSKY	96	6	6.3%	62	4	6.5%	39	2	5.1%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a

Community Area	Unit#	School name	WHOLE SCHOOL			KINDERGARTEN			FIRST GRADE			SECOND GRADE			THIRD GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
31 Lower West Side (continued)	7610	OROZCO UPPER CYCLE	854	120	14.1%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5430	PICKARD	883	0	0.0%	107	0	0.0%	97	0	0.0%	90	0	0.0%	95	0	0.0%
	4210	PILSEN COMM ACAD	864	65	7.5%	125	8	6.4%	137	13	9.5%	142	17	12.0%	128	8	6.3%
	6720	SALAZAR BILINGUAL C	272	11	4.0%	50	0	0.0%	91	5	5.5%	81	0	0.0%	50	6	12.0%
	6320	WALSH	451	14	3.1%	59	0	0.0%	80	7	8.8%	74	0	0.0%	59	2	3.4%
	6450	WHITTIER	404	7	1.7%	102	4	3.9%	110	1	0.9%	103	1	1.0%	89	1	1.1%
	7330	WHITTIER BRANCH	248	3	1.2%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
33 Near South Side		COMMUNITY TOTALS	563	22	3.9%	70	0	0.0%	104	4	3.8%	83	6	7.2%	87	3	3.4%
	3961	SOUTH LOOP BR	156	9	5.8%	42	0	0.0%	66	4	6.1%	48	5	10.4%	0	0	n/a
	3960	SOUTH LOOP SCHOOL	407	13	3.2%	28	0	0.0%	38	0	0.0%	35	1	2.9%	87	3	3.4%
34 Armour Square		COMMUNITY TOTALS	757	15	2.0%	70	0	0.0%	80	0	0.0%	65	0	0.0%	105	3	2.9%
	2010	ABBOTT	315	3	1.0%	38	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	39	0	0.0%
	3700	HAINES	442	12	2.7%	32	0	0.0%	34	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%	66	3	4.5%
35 Douglas		COMMUNITY TOTALS	6,265	403	6.4%	673	13	1.9%	936	90	9.6%	815	107	13.1%	784	41	5.2%
	2100	ATTUCKS	554	24	4.3%	79	0	0.0%	87	4	4.6%	70	9	12.9%	74	3	4.1%
	3070	DOOLITTLE INT UPPER	463	6	1.3%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7620	DOOLITTLE PRIMARY	814	103	12.7%	114	3	2.6%	213	28	13.1%	185	46	24.9%	186	15	8.1%
	3090	DOUGLAS COMM ACAD	524	35	6.7%	65	0	0.0%	67	6	9.0%	63	5	7.9%	37	0	0.0%
	3100	DRAKE	331	10	3.0%	35	1	2.9%	54	4	7.4%	37	0	0.0%	35	0	0.0%
	7340	DRAKE EVGC BR	67	36	53.7%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3790	HARTIGAN	655	3	0.5%	70	0	0.0%	80	1	1.3%	79	2	2.5%	81	0	0.0%
	4700	MAYO	610	41	6.7%	73	9	12.3%	85	5	5.9%	76	4	5.3%	85	2	2.4%
	5400	PERSHING MAGNET	287	5	1.7%	27	0	0.0%	28	1	3.6%	32	3	9.4%	31	1	3.2%
	5570	RAYMOND	698	49	7.0%	108	0	0.0%	137	18	13.1%	100	22	22.0%	107	9	8.4%
	6330	WARD JAMES	226	15	6.6%	13	0	0.0%	21	2	9.5%	18	2	11.1%	13	2	15.3%
	6490	WILLIAMS	1,036	76	7.3%	89	0	0.0%	164	21	12.8%	155	14	9.0%	133	9	6.8%
36 Oakland		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,078	61	5.7%	87	2	2.3%	138	11	8.0%	142	13	9.2%	153	16	10.5%
	6790	DONOGHUE	455	28	6.2%	32	2	6.3%	54	3	5.6%	65	4	6.2%	73	9	12.3%
	3210	EINSTEIN	428	27	6.3%	29	0	0.0%	62	8	12.9%	54	6	11.1%	56	5	8.9%
	6780	ROBINSON BR OAKENW	195	6	3.1%	26	0	0.0%	22	0	0.0%	23	3	13.0%	24	2	8.3%
37 Fuller Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,156	227	19.6%	127	0	0.0%	133	50	37.6%	193	45	23.3%	154	33	21.4%
	6540	BEETHOVEN	754	183	24.3%	92	0	0.0%	81	26	32.1%	138	41	29.7%	104	29	27.9%
	3930	HENDRICKS	402	44	10.9%	35	0	0.0%	52	24	46.2%	55	4	7.3%	50	4	8.0%
38 Grand Boulevard		COMMUNITY TOTALS	4,809	291	6.1%	490	5	1.0%	655	87	13.3%	681	52	7.6%	657	29	4.4%
	2840	COLMAN	699	76	10.9%	77	0	0.0%	117	36	30.8%	84	4	4.8%	84	6	7.1%
	3300	FARREN	890	57	6.4%	87	0	0.0%	121	25	20.7%	111	2	1.8%	106	4	3.8%
	3440	FULLER	512	20	3.9%	53	0	0.0%	53	1	1.9%	69	5	7.2%	87	4	4.6%
	6820	MCCORKLE	496	17	3.4%	55	0	0.0%	49	0	0.0%	67	8	11.9%	61	2	3.3%
	6950	MOLLISON	447	62	13.9%	49	5	10.2%	60	12	20.0%	64	5	7.8%	51	6	11.8%
	6960	OVERTON	816	38	4.7%	70	0	0.0%	125	0	0.0%	154	21	13.6%	160	6	3.8%
	7750	WOODSON N	358	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7820	WOODSON S	591	21	3.6%	99	0	0.0%	130	13	10.0%	132	7	5.3%	108	1	0.9%
39 Kenwood		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,938	84	4.3%	181	1	0.6%	221	18	8.1%	238	24	10.1%	256	17	6.6%
	6810	PRICE	607	53	8.7%	62	0	0.0%	68	7	10.3%	84	15	17.9%	85	15	17.6%
	5580	REAVIS	513	13	2.5%	49	0	0.0%	61	5	8.2%	68	2	2.9%	63	2	3.2%
	5850	SHAKESPEARE	349	14	4.0%	40	1	2.5%	45	2	4.4%	46	7	15.2%	48	0	0.0%
	5920	SHOESMITH	289	4	1.4%	30	0	0.0%	47	4	8.5%	40	0	0.0%	60	0	0.0%
	4260	WIRTH EXP BR KENWO	180	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
40 Washington Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	4,976	244	4.9%	383	0	0.0%	511	50	9.8%	522	33	6.3%	580	38	6.6%
	6660	BEASLEY ACAD CTR	1,172	24	2.0%	0	0	n/a	125	7	5.6%	130	8	6.2%	154	2	1.3%
	2460	BURKE	546	50	9.2%	96	0	0.0%	99	17	17.2%	92	10	10.9%	101	14	13.9%
	2670	CARTER	772	49	6.3%	68	0	0.0%	95	19	20.0%	89	8	9.0%	105	9	8.6%
	8070	DYETT MIDDLE	798	11	1.4%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6661	FARREN CPC BR BEASL	61	0	0.0%	61	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5280	PARKMAN	333	1	0.3%	36	0	0.0%	38	0	0.0%	42	0	0.0%	36	0	0.0%
	5650	ROSS	666	52	7.8%	46	0	0.0%	80	5	6.3%	83	1	1.2%	91	6	6.6%
	6840	TERRELL	628	57	9.1%	76	0	0.0%	74	2	2.7%	86	6	7.0%	93	7	7.5%
41 Hyde Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,878	41	2.2%	162	0	0.0%	191	15	7.9%	215	10	4.7%	228	1	0.4%
	3780	HARTE	325	4	1.2%	23	0	0.0%	33	2	6.1%	34	1	2.9%	44	1	2.3%
	4390	KOZMINSKI COMM ACA	543	14	2.6%	69	0	0.0%	59	8	13.6%	62	4	6.5%	81	0	0.0%
	5030	MURRAY LANG ACADE	319	1	0.3%	32	0	0.0%	31	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%
	5560	RAY	691	22	3.2%	38	0	0.0%	68	5	7.4%	89	5	5.6%	74	0	0.0%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	FOURTH GRADE			FIFTH GRADE			SIXTH GRADE			SEVENTH GRADE			EIGHTH GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
31 Lower West Side (continued)	7610	OROZCO UPPER CYCLE	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	480	114	23.8%	374	6	1.6%
	5430	PICKARD	101	0	0.0%	108	0	0.0%	109	0	0.0%	88	0	0.0%	88	0	0.0%
	4210	PILSEN COMM ACAD	125	14	11.2%	104	5	4.8%	103	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6720	SALAZAR BILINGUAL C	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6320	WALSH	53	4	7.5%	76	1	1.3%	50	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6450	WHITTIER	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7330	WHITTIER BRANCH	94	1	1.1%	82	2	2.4%	72	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
33 Near South Side		COMMUNITY TOTALS	71	2	2.8%	47	2	4.3%	56	0	0.0%	45	5	11.1%	0	0	n/a
	3961	SOUTH LOOP BR	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3960	SOUTH LOOP SCHOOL	71	2	2.8%	47	2	4.3%	56	0	0.0%	45	5	11.1%	0	0	n/a
34 Armour Square		COMMUNITY TOTALS	89	1	1.1%	79	2	2.5%	77	2	2.6%	95	7	7.4%	97	0	0.0%
	2010	ABBOTT	33	0	0.0%	36	0	0.0%	32	2	6.3%	41	1	2.4%	22	0	0.0%
	3700	HAINES	56	1	1.8%	43	2	4.7%	45	0	0.0%	54	6	11.1%	75	0	0.0%
35 Douglas		COMMUNITY TOTALS	728	35	4.8%	669	15	2.2%	586	25	4.3%	504	25	5.0%	570	52	9.1%
	2100	ATTUCKS	46	1	2.2%	61	0	0.0%	44	2	4.5%	43	1	2.3%	50	4	8.0%
	3070	DOOLITTLE INT UPPER	21	0	0.0%	132	0	0.0%	122	0	0.0%	97	0	0.0%	91	6	6.6%
	7620	DOOLITTLE PRIMARY	116	11	9.5%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3090	DOUGLAS COMM ACAD	38	4	10.5%	32	3	9.4%	40	8	20.0%	83	7	8.4%	99	2	2.0%
	3100	DRAKE	37	2	5.4%	41	3	7.3%	35	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%
	7340	DRAKE EVGC BR	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	67	36	53.7%
	3790	HARTIGAN	79	0	0.0%	77	0	0.0%	73	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%	52	0	0.0%
	4700	MAYO	74	0	0.0%	72	2	2.8%	44	0	0.0%	58	15	25.9%	43	4	9.3%
	5400	PERSHING MAGNET	58	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	27	0	0.0%	25	0	0.0%	31	0	0.0%
	5570	RAYMOND	82	0	0.0%	82	0	0.0%	82	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6330	WARD JAMES	30	1	3.3%	30	3	10.0%	21	3	14.3%	44	2	4.5%	34	0	0.0%
	6490	WILLIAMS	147	16	10.9%	114	4	3.5%	98	12	12.2%	62	0	0.0%	74	0	0.0%
36 Oakland		COMMUNITY TOTALS	133	9	6.8%	121	2	1.7%	117	7	6.0%	101	1	1.0%	86	0	0.0%
	6790	DONOGHUE	66	3	4.5%	56	2	3.6%	38	5	13.2%	38	0	0.0%	33	0	0.0%
	3210	EINSTEIN	50	6	12.0%	46	0	0.0%	53	1	1.9%	42	1	2.4%	36	0	0.0%
	6780	ROBINSON BR OAKENW	17	0	0.0%	19	0	0.0%	26	1	3.8%	21	0	0.0%	17	0	0.0%
37 Fuller Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	140	20	14.3%	124	25	20.2%	117	27	23.1%	96	14	14.6%	72	13	18.1%
	6540	BEETHOVEN	86	15	17.4%	77	19	24.7%	70	26	37.1%	69	14	20.3%	37	13	35.1%
	3930	HENDRICKS	54	5	9.3%	47	6	12.8%	47	1	2.1%	27	0	0.0%	35	0	0.0%
38 Grand Boulevard		COMMUNITY TOTALS	639	51	8.0%	520	41	7.9%	444	16	3.6%	354	9	2.5%	369	1	0.3%
	2840	COLMAN	79	6	7.6%	70	16	22.9%	56	3	5.4%	63	4	6.3%	69	1	1.4%
	3300	FARREN	115	16	13.9%	107	3	2.8%	101	3	3.0%	76	4	5.3%	66	0	0.0%
	3440	FULLER	64	7	10.9%	53	3	5.7%	51	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%
	6820	MCCORKLE	72	6	8.3%	49	0	0.0%	53	1	1.9%	32	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%
	6930	MOLLISON	53	9	17.0%	48	16	33.3%	45	9	20.0%	31	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%
	6960	OVERTON	134	7	5.2%	104	3	2.9%	46	0	0.0%	23	1	4.3%	0	0	n/a
	7750	WOODSON N	0	0	n/a	89	0	0.0%	92	0	0.0%	88	0	0.0%	89	0	0.0%
	7820	WOODSON S	122	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
39 Kenwood		COMMUNITY TOTALS	250	17	6.8%	224	0	0.0%	211	0	0.0%	163	2	1.2%	194	5	2.6%
	6810	PRICE	84	14	16.7%	63	0	0.0%	57	0	0.0%	50	2	4.0%	54	0	0.0%
	5580	REAVIS	75	3	4.0%	66	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	43	1	2.3%
	5850	SHAKESPEARE	33	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	27	0	0.0%	28	4	14.3%
	5920	SHOESMITH	58	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4280	WIRTH EXP BR KENWO	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	55	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%	69	0	0.0%
40 Washington Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	502	26	5.2%	496	16	3.2%	597	10	1.7%	676	22	3.3%	709	49	6.9%
	6660	BEASLEY ACAD CTR	152	5	3.3%	146	0	0.0%	151	0	0.0%	158	1	0.6%	156	1	0.6%
	2460	BURKE	76	5	6.6%	82	4	4.9%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	2670	CARTER	86	7	8.1%	93	0	0.0%	88	0	0.0%	72	5	6.9%	76	1	1.3%
	8070	DYETT MIDDLE	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	209	4	1.9%	275	3	1.1%	314	4	1.3%
	6661	FARREN CPC BR BEASL	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5280	PARKMAN	46	0	0.0%	38	0	0.0%	35	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	34	1	2.9%
	5650	ROSS	65	0	0.0%	71	7	9.9%	60	3	5.0%	86	6	7.0%	84	24	28.6%
	6840	TERRELL	77	9	11.7%	66	5	7.6%	54	3	5.6%	57	7	12.3%	45	18	40.0%
41 Hyde Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	234	6	2.6%	219	3	1.4%	228	2	0.9%	210	3	1.4%	191	1	0.5%
	3780	HARTE	41	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%	35	0	0.0%	42	0	0.0%	33	0	0.0%
	4390	KOZMINSKI COMM ACA	70	2	2.9%	45	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%	50	0	0.0%	51	0	0.0%
	5030	MURRAY LANG ACADE	28	0	0.0%	44	1	2.3%	43	0	0.0%	42	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%
	5560	RAY	95	4	4.2%	90	2	2.2%	94	2	2.1%	76	3	3.9%	67	1	1.5%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	WHOLE SCHOOL			KINDERGARTEN			FIRST GRADE			SECOND GRADE			THIRD GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
42 Woodlawn		COMMUNITY TOTALS	4,630	201	4.3%	503	0	0.0%	563	41	7.3%	549	36	6.6%	627	37	5.9%
	2630	CARNEGIE	316	7	2.2%	20	0	0.0%	39	1	2.6%	28	0	0.0%	40	3	7.5%
	6060	DULLES	658	0	0.0%	87	0	0.0%	93	0	0.0%	110	0	0.0%	100	0	0.0%
	6090	DUMAS	602	53	8.8%	49	0	0.0%	77	6	7.8%	67	16	23.9%	94	8	8.5%
	6091	DUMAS CPC	25	0	0.0%	25	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3360	FIRKE	413	34	8.2%	36	0	0.0%	57	7	12.3%	37	0	0.0%	58	1	1.7%
	4740	MCCOSH	1,034	43	4.2%	99	0	0.0%	123	8	6.5%	103	2	1.9%	130	13	10.0%
	5290	PARK MANOR	506	12	2.4%	60	0	0.0%	56	4	7.1%	55	4	7.3%	72	1	1.4%
	5830	SEXTON A O	518	34	6.6%	45	0	0.0%	51	9	17.6%	67	8	11.9%	78	11	14.1%
	6300	WADSWORTH	520	18	3.5%	44	0	0.0%	67	6	9.0%	82	6	7.3%	55	0	0.0%
	6301	WADSWORTH CPC	38	0	0.0%	38	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
43 South Shore		COMMUNITY TOTALS	8,311	314	3.8%	601	0	0.0%	814	107	13.1%	810	43	5.3%	827	30	3.6%
	7861	BLACK MAGNET BRANC	170	1	0.6%	25	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%	52	0	0.0%	50	1	2.0%
	2340	BRADWELL	1,016	41	4.0%	107	0	0.0%	123	21	17.1%	134	6	4.5%	123	3	2.4%
	2430	BRYN MAWR	1,518	59	3.9%	151	0	0.0%	203	21	10.3%	213	11	5.2%	218	6	2.8%
	7380	BRYN MAWR BR	328	9	2.7%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3520	FERMI	481	34	7.1%	44	0	0.0%	71	9	12.7%	53	5	9.4%	65	7	10.8%
	4570	MADISON	577	13	2.3%	70	0	0.0%	81	10	12.3%	62	0	0.0%	72	0	0.0%
	5180	OKEEFFE	845	57	6.7%	67	0	0.0%	118	31	26.3%	125	7	5.6%	120	7	5.8%
	5300	PARKSIDE COMM ACAD	659	42	6.4%	62	0	0.0%	78	5	6.4%	76	8	10.5%	82	5	6.1%
	7010	POWELL	717	58	8.1%	75	0	0.0%	97	10	10.3%	95	6	6.3%	97	1	1.0%
44 Chatham		COMMUNITY TOTALS	3,425	130	3.8%	299	0	0.0%	390	30	7.7%	423	15	3.5%	451	14	3.1%
	2520	BURNSIDE SCHOL ACAD	683	4	0.6%	56	0	0.0%	60	1	1.7%	82	0	0.0%	91	3	3.3%
	3040	DIXON	638	32	5.0%	31	0	0.0%	51	2	3.9%	49	1	2.0%	64	3	4.7%
	4780	MCDADE CLASSICAL	166	0	0.0%	18	0	0.0%	22	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	25	0	0.0%
	5060	NEIL	198	1	0.5%	29	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	26	1	3.8%	33	0	0.0%
	5440	PIRIE	553	21	3.8%	51	0	0.0%	77	13	16.9%	90	1	1.1%	88	6	6.8%
	6900	SBARBARO	587	22	3.7%	60	0	0.0%	72	12	16.7%	77	3	3.9%	66	0	0.0%
	7260	WESTCOTT	600	50	8.3%	54	0	0.0%	82	2	2.4%	71	9	12.7%	84	2	2.4%
45 Avalon Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	2,034	174	8.6%	162	3	1.9%	235	27	11.5%	222	25	11.3%	249	28	11.2%
	2130	AVALON PARK	705	73	10.4%	56	0	0.0%	93	11	11.8%	80	10	12.5%	89	7	7.9%
	2580	CALDWELL	523	24	4.6%	39	3	7.7%	56	5	8.9%	53	4	7.5%	58	2	3.4%
	4610	MANN	806	77	9.6%	67	0	0.0%	86	11	12.8%	89	11	12.4%	102	19	18.6%
46 South Chicago		COMMUNITY TOTALS	4,605	332	7.2%	501	13	2.6%	549	79	14.4%	566	68	12.0%	542	16	3.0%
	2830	COLES	776	57	7.3%	60	0	0.0%	92	9	9.8%	87	22	25.3%	79	1	1.3%
	3720	NINOS HEROES MAGNE	732	15	2.0%	90	0	0.0%	101	4	4.0%	96	6	6.3%	102	2	2.0%
	5880	SHERIDAN PHIL	1,642	115	7.0%	193	0	0.0%	199	37	18.6%	198	13	6.6%	194	5	2.6%
	6100	SULLIVAN ELEM	717	40	5.6%	71	2	2.8%	79	10	12.7%	102	15	14.7%	85	2	2.4%
	6181	THORP EVOC BR	29	10	34.5%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6180	THORP J N	709	95	13.4%	87	11	12.6%	78	19	24.4%	83	12	14.5%	82	6	7.3%
47 Burnside	5380	PERRY	579	5	0.9%	59	0	0.0%	62	2	3.2%	70	0	0.0%	66	1	1.5%
48 Calumet Heights		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,302	36	2.8%	95	4	4.3%	156	14	9.0%	134	6	4.5%	135	2	1.5%
	7860	BLACK MAGNET	259	3	1.2%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7450	EARHART BR HOYNE	152	5	3.3%	19	1	5.3%	23	4	17.4%	25	0	0.0%	21	0	0.0%
	4080	HOYNE	214	12	5.6%	24	1	4.2%	37	6	16.2%	33	5	15.2%	31	0	0.0%
	7390	MCDOWELL BR CALD	208	8	3.8%	30	0	0.0%	58	4	6.9%	30	1	3.3%	40	0	0.0%
	6350	WARREN	469	8	1.7%	20	2	10.0%	38	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%	43	2	4.7%
49 Roseland		COMMUNITY TOTALS	6,261	77	1.2%	574	2	0.3%	706	22	3.1%	704	13	1.8%	850	12	1.4%
	2280	BENNETT	404	11	2.7%	38	0	0.0%	33	4	12.1%	41	3	7.3%	50	1	2.0%
	2360	BRENAN	859	12	1.4%	72	1	1.4%	97	2	2.1%	88	1	1.1%	114	6	5.3%
	4100	CULLEN	449	5	1.1%	32	1	3.1%	48	2	4.2%	47	0	0.0%	48	2	4.2%
	3160	CURTIS	907	0	0.0%	100	0	0.0%	92	0	0.0%	120	0	0.0%	126	0	0.0%
	6030	DUNNE	435	1	0.2%	35	0	0.0%	42	1	2.4%	46	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%
	3530	GILLESPIE	745	34	4.6%	52	0	0.0%	97	6	6.2%	82	9	11.0%	108	3	2.8%
	8060	HUGHES LANGSTON	268	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%	27	0	0.0%	22	0	0.0%	38	0	0.0%
	4360	KOHN	730	1	0.1%	75	0	0.0%	75	0	0.0%	71	0	0.0%	111	0	0.0%
	2281	SHEDD BR BENNETT	196	4	2.0%	32	0	0.0%	35	2	5.7%	33	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%
	3110	TURNER-DREW LANG A	383	1	0.3%	32	0	0.0%	53	0	0.0%	53	0	0.0%	50	0	0.0%
	6260	VAN VLISSINGEN	885	8	0.9%	83	0	0.0%	107	5	4.7%	101	0	0.0%	125	0	0.0%
50 Pullman		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,605	54	3.4%	155	0	0.0%	180	23	12.8%	170	7	4.1%	202	6	3.0%
	8460	POE CLASSICAL	167	0	0.0%	17	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%
	5530	PULLMAN	555	5	0.9%	54	0	0.0%	57	1	1.8%	72	2	2.8%	63	0	0.0%
	5950	SCHMID	232	18	7.8%	23	0	0.0%	21	4	19.0%	19	2	10.5%	35	6	17.1%
	3870	SMITH WENDELL	651	31	4.8%	61	0	0.0%	79	18	22.8%	55	3	5.5%	81	0	0.0%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	FOURTH GRADE			FIFTH GRADE			SIXTH GRADE			SEVENTH GRADE			EIGHTH GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
42 Woodlawn		COMMUNITY TOTALS	594	16	2.7%	518	19	3.7%	517	16	3.1%	377	1	0.3%	382	35	9.2%
	2630	CARNEGIE	38	3	7.9%	32	0	0.0%	35	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%
	6860	DULLES	104	0	0.0%	91	0	0.0%	73	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6890	DUMAS	81	7	8.6%	69	3	4.3%	68	9	13.2%	43	0	0.0%	54	4	7.4%
	6891	DUMAS CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3360	FISKE	55	0	0.0%	42	4	9.5%	39	5	12.8%	38	0	0.0%	51	17	33.3%
	4740	MCCOSH	125	6	4.8%	119	1	0.8%	120	2	1.7%	121	0	0.0%	94	11	11.7%
	5290	PARK MANOR	53	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	50	0	0.0%	44	3	6.8%
	5830	SEXTON A O	64	0	0.0%	46	6	13.0%	83	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%
	6300	WADSWORTH	74	0	0.0%	61	5	8.2%	41	0	0.0%	41	1	2.4%	55	0	0.0%
	6301	WADSWORTH CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
43 South Shore		COMMUNITY TOTALS	708	26	3.7%	681	20	2.9%	677	27	4.0%	606	40	6.6%	587	21	3.6%
	7861	BLACK MAGNET BRANC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	2340	BRADWELL	123	5	4.1%	123	2	1.6%	97	2	2.1%	91	2	2.2%	95	0	0.0%
	2430	BRYN MAWR	220	6	2.7%	204	11	5.4%	16	0	0.0%	81	0	0.0%	212	4	1.9%
	7380	BRYN MAWR BR	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	197	7	3.6%	131	2	1.5%	0	0	n/a
	3320	FERMI	56	2	3.6%	49	0	0.0%	44	3	6.8%	46	1	2.2%	53	7	13.2%
	4570	MADISON	62	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	75	1	1.3%	53	2	3.8%	44	0	0.0%
	5180	OKEEFFE	74	6	8.1%	106	3	2.8%	95	1	1.1%	74	2	2.7%	66	0	0.0%
	5300	PARKSIDE COMM ACAD	74	0	0.0%	73	4	5.5%	78	7	9.0%	73	10	13.7%	63	3	4.8%
	7010	POWELL	99	7	7.1%	68	0	0.0%	75	6	8.0%	57	21	36.8%	54	7	13.0%
44 Chatham		COMMUNITY TOTALS	442	10	2.3%	383	18	4.7%	415	15	3.6%	332	21	6.3%	290	7	2.4%
	2520	BURNSIDE SCHOL ACAD	91	0	0.0%	78	0	0.0%	83	0	0.0%	86	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%
	3040	DIXON	58	3	5.2%	57	4	7.0%	58	4	6.9%	132	15	11.4%	138	0	0.0%
	4750	MCDADE CLASSICAL	28	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%	21	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5060	NEIL	25	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	33	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5440	PIRIE	93	1	1.1%	76	0	0.0%	78	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6900	SBARBARO	63	1	1.6%	61	5	8.2%	70	0	0.0%	66	1	1.5%	52	0	0.0%
	7260	WESTCOTT	84	5	6.0%	61	9	14.8%	72	11	15.3%	48	5	10.4%	44	7	15.9%
45 Avalon Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	251	14	5.6%	206	15	7.3%	229	19	8.3%	238	17	7.1%	242	26	10.7%
	2130	AVALON PARK	87	7	8.0%	71	7	9.9%	70	9	12.9%	83	6	7.2%	76	16	21.1%
	2580	CALDWELL	63	2	3.2%	54	3	5.6%	57	3	5.3%	66	0	0.0%	77	2	2.6%
	4610	MANN	101	5	5.0%	81	5	6.2%	102	7	6.9%	89	11	12.4%	89	8	9.0%
46 South Chicago		COMMUNITY TOTALS	555	16	2.9%	488	23	4.7%	443	18	4.1%	508	53	10.4%	453	46	10.2%
	2830	COLES	106	1	0.9%	100	3	3.0%	77	4	5.2%	101	17	16.8%	74	0	0.0%
	3720	NINOS HEROES MAGNE	94	3	3.2%	70	0	0.0%	75	0	0.0%	55	0	0.0%	49	0	0.0%
	5880	SHERIDAN PHIL	181	2	1.1%	157	2	1.3%	152	9	5.9%	184	21	11.4%	184	26	14.1%
	6100	SULLIVAN ELEM	91	2	2.2%	74	4	5.4%	53	3	5.7%	88	2	2.3%	74	0	0.0%
	6181	THORP EVGC BR	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	4	0	0.0%	10	0	0.0%	15	10	66.7%
	6180	THORP J N	83	8	9.6%	87	14	16.1%	82	2	2.4%	70	13	18.6%	57	10	17.5%
47 Burnside	5380	PERRY	63	2	3.2%	64	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%	65	0	0.0%	66	0	0.0%
48 Calumet Heights		COMMUNITY TOTALS	172	3	1.7%	175	2	1.1%	166	0	0.0%	125	3	2.4%	146	2	1.4%
	7860	BLACK MAGNET	53	2	3.8%	48	0	0.0%	53	0	0.0%	47	0	0.0%	58	1	1.7%
	7450	EARHART BR HOYNE	31	0	0.0%	19	0	0.0%	14	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4080	HOYNE	26	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7390	MCDOWELL BR CALD	21	1	4.8%	29	2	6.9%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6350	WARREN	41	0	0.0%	53	0	0.0%	62	0	0.0%	78	3	3.8%	88	1	1.1%
49 Roseland		COMMUNITY TOTALS	738	5	0.7%	707	7	1.0%	698	5	0.7%	628	9	1.4%	656	2	0.3%
	2280	BENNETT	53	1	1.9%	42	0	0.0%	50	2	4.0%	45	0	0.0%	52	0	0.0%
	2360	BRENAN	95	0	0.0%	97	0	0.0%	103	0	0.0%	90	0	0.0%	103	2	1.9%
	4100	CULLEN	46	0	0.0%	53	0	0.0%	61	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%
	3160	CURTIS	117	0	0.0%	84	0	0.0%	98	0	0.0%	67	0	0.0%	103	0	0.0%
	6050	DUNNE	55	0	0.0%	48	0	0.0%	55	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%
	3530	GILLESPIE	79	3	3.8%	88	4	4.5%	70	1	1.4%	92	8	8.7%	77	0	0.0%
	8060	HUGHES LANGSTON	28	0	0.0%	32	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%
	4360	KOHN	72	1	1.4%	101	0	0.0%	76	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	91	0	0.0%
	2281	SHEDD BR BENNETT	25	0	0.0%	19	0	0.0%	26	2	7.7%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3110	TURNER-DREW LANG A	54	0	0.0%	50	1	2.0%	32	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%
	6260	VAN VLISSINGEN	114	0	0.0%	93	2	2.2%	99	0	0.0%	89	1	1.1%	74	0	0.0%
50 Pullman		COMMUNITY TOTALS	217	9	4.1%	188	0	0.0%	193	6	3.1%	155	3	1.9%	145	0	0.0%
	5460	POE CLASSICAL	30	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5530	PULLMAN	71	0	0.0%	68	0	0.0%	59	0	0.0%	63	2	3.2%	48	0	0.0%
	5950	SCHMID	31	6	19.4%	25	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%	22	0	0.0%	32	0	0.0%
	3870	SMITH WENDELL	85	3	3.5%	71	0	0.0%	84	6	7.1%	70	1	1.4%	65	0	0.0%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	WHOLE SCHOOL			KINDERGARTEN			FIRST GRADE			SECOND GRADE			THIRD GRADE		
			Enrl	#Rel.	%Rel.	Enrl	#Rel.	%Rel.	Enrl	#Rel.	%Rel.	Enrl	#Rel.	%Rel.	Enrl	#Rel.	%Rel.
51 South Deering		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,592	51	3.2%	168	0	0.0%	162	8	4.9%	212	14	6.6%	195	6	3.1%
	2481	ANTHONY BR BURNHA	138	1	0.7%	12	0	0.0%	20	0	0.0%	16	0	0.0%	27	1	3.7%
	2390	BRIGHT	529	13	2.5%	56	0	0.0%	66	5	7.6%	71	7	9.9%	55	1	1.8%
	2480	BURNHAM	104	6	5.8%	12	0	0.0%	10	1	10.0%	24	1	4.2%	14	0	0.0%
	4551	GOLDSMITH BR LUELLA	159	3	1.9%	26	0	0.0%	22	0	0.0%	31	1	3.2%	29	2	6.9%
	4550	LUELLA	333	26	7.8%	11	0	0.0%	23	2	8.7%	30	4	13.3%	30	2	6.7%
	4630	MARSH	329	2	0.6%	48	0	0.0%	21	0	0.0%	40	1	2.5%	40	0	0.0%
52 East Side		COMMUNITY TOTALS	2,159	37	1.7%	218	2	0.9%	241	13	5.4%	266	12	4.5%	253	1	0.4%
	2020	ADDAMS	383	3	0.8%	32	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%	42	2	4.8%	49	0	0.0%
	3490	GALLISTEL LANG ACAD	695	12	1.7%	72	0	0.0%	81	6	7.4%	85	5	5.9%	83	1	1.2%
	6150	TAYLOR	585	19	3.2%	61	0	0.0%	62	6	9.7%	84	5	6.0%	83	0	0.0%
	6360	WASHINGTON ELEM	496	3	0.6%	53	2	3.8%	61	1	1.6%	55	0	0.0%	38	0	0.0%
53 West Pullman		COMMUNITY TOTALS	5,105	82	1.6%	510	1	0.2%	601	27	4.5%	587	15	2.6%	628	14	2.2%
	3570	GOMPERS	549	2	0.4%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7210	HIGGINS COMM ACAD	526	11	2.1%	37	1	2.7%	72	5	6.9%	63	0	0.0%	81	2	2.5%
	3190	METCALFE MAGNET	950	3	0.3%	123	0	0.0%	121	0	0.0%	113	0	0.0%	114	0	0.0%
	5040	NANSEN	465	16	3.4%	28	0	0.0%	59	3	5.1%	43	3	7.0%	52	1	1.9%
	3470	OWENS COMM ACAD	500	4	0.8%	132	0	0.0%	116	2	1.7%	130	1	0.8%	122	1	0.8%
	5740	SCANLAN	755	1	0.1%	69	0	0.0%	84	1	1.2%	93	0	0.0%	92	0	0.0%
	6400	WEST PULLMAN	696	26	3.7%	63	0	0.0%	81	9	11.1%	74	8	10.8%	86	5	5.8%
	6420	WHISTLER	404	13	3.2%	35	0	0.0%	39	4	10.3%	41	1	2.4%	52	5	9.6%
	7440	WHITE BR	260	6	2.3%	23	0	0.0%	29	3	10.3%	30	2	6.7%	29	0	0.0%
54 Riverdale		COMMUNITY TOTALS	2,046	31	1.5%	240	0	0.0%	244	1	0.4%	226	2	0.9%	264	5	1.9%
	2710	ALDRIDGE	477	7	1.5%	42	0	0.0%	50	1	2.0%	54	1	1.9%	68	3	4.4%
	2700	CARVER MIDDLE	588	15	2.6%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	2690	CARVER PRIMARY	478	0	0.0%	49	0	0.0%	147	0	0.0%	138	0	0.0%	144	0	0.0%
	8010	DU BOIS	403	9	2.2%	49	0	0.0%	47	0	0.0%	34	1	2.9%	52	2	3.8%
	2691	WHEATLEY CPC	100	0	0.0%	100	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
55 Hegewisch		COMMUNITY TOTALS	661	12	1.8%	46	0	0.0%	79	7	8.9%	81	0	0.0%	71	3	4.2%
	2790	CLAY	427	11	2.6%	26	0	0.0%	53	6	11.3%	51	0	0.0%	46	3	6.5%
	3580	GRISSOM	234	1	0.4%	20	0	0.0%	26	1	3.8%	30	0	0.0%	25	0	0.0%
56 Garfield Ridge		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,487	69	4.6%	143	3	2.1%	165	12	7.3%	168	10	6.0%	179	8	4.5%
	2570	BYRNE	323	11	3.4%	32	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	35	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%
	3890	HEARST	727	39	5.4%	73	3	4.1%	88	10	11.4%	90	7	7.8%	97	3	3.1%
	6240	TWAIN	437	19	4.3%	38	0	0.0%	49	2	4.1%	43	3	7.0%	53	5	9.4%
57 Archer Heights	3200	EDWARDS	691	25	3.6%	41	0	0.0%	69	7	10.1%	77	5	6.5%	91	1	1.1%
58 Brighton Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	2,709	95	3.5%	251	1	0.4%	324	34	10.5%	311	14	4.5%	354	10	2.8%
	2540	BURROUGHS	364	21	5.8%	31	0	0.0%	48	10	20.8%	46	6	13.0%	43	0	0.0%
	2970	DAVIS	822	42	5.1%	75	0	0.0%	99	12	12.1%	104	3	2.9%	108	8	7.4%
	3690	GUNSAULUS SCHOL AC	654	8	1.2%	57	0	0.0%	61	4	6.6%	59	0	0.0%	88	0	0.0%
	5910	SHIELDS	869	24	2.8%	88	1	1.1%	116	8	6.9%	102	5	4.9%	115	2	1.7%
59 McKinley Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	920	45	4.9%	100	0	0.0%	117	15	12.8%	100	10	10.0%	100	4	4.0%
	3260	EVERETT	413	22	5.3%	45	0	0.0%	51	7	13.7%	48	7	14.6%	49	2	4.1%
	3650	GREENE NATHANAEL	507	23	4.5%	55	0	0.0%	66	8	12.1%	52	3	5.8%	51	2	3.9%
60 Bridgeport		COMMUNITY TOTALS	2,754	86	3.1%	292	0	0.0%	353	32	9.1%	346	22	6.4%	337	9	2.7%
	2070	ARMOUR	557	33	5.9%	55	0	0.0%	83	11	13.3%	89	15	16.9%	74	5	6.8%
	3880	HEALY	947	23	2.4%	93	0	0.0%	116	10	8.6%	113	3	2.7%	124	3	2.4%
	4020	HOLDEN	427	8	1.9%	46	0	0.0%	51	8	15.7%	40	0	0.0%	52	0	0.0%
	4710	MCCLELLAN	311	20	6.4%	38	0	0.0%	47	3	6.4%	44	4	9.1%	30	1	3.3%
	4920	SHERIDAN M MAGNET	512	2	0.4%	60	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%	60	0	0.0%	57	0	0.0%
61 New City		COMMUNITY TOTALS	7,491	453	6.0%	815	12	1.5%	1046	105	10.0%	1034	108	10.4%	926	65	7.0%
	3030	DEWEY	455	59	13.0%	40	0	0.0%	104	16	15.4%	82	8	9.8%	82	8	9.8%
	3450	FULTON	1,156	109	9.4%	182	0	0.0%	189	23	12.2%	207	44	21.3%	71	12	16.9%
	7150	FULTON BRANCH	272	21	7.7%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	114	13	11.4%
	3600	GRAHAM	572	15	2.6%	66	0	0.0%	72	6	8.3%	66	4	6.1%	63	0	0.0%
	3740	HAMLINE	863	37	4.3%	94	1	1.1%	129	5	3.9%	120	4	3.3%	78	2	2.6%
	3900	HEDGES	335	20	6.0%	38	0	0.0%	61	8	13.1%	56	7	12.5%	42	1	2.4%
	6561	HEDGES CENTRAL BR	262	19	7.3%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6560	HEDGES EAST BR	295	21	7.1%	47	0	0.0%	52	8	15.4%	60	6	10.0%	51	5	9.8%
	3902	HEDGES WEST BR	225	10	4.4%	41	0	0.0%	33	6	18.2%	38	1	2.6%	44	2	4.5%
	4470	LIBBY	1,030	65	6.3%	85	10	11.8%	150	18	12.0%	127	11	8.7%	131	12	9.2%
	5820	SEWARD	1,068	45	4.2%	144	0	0.0%	173	10	5.8%	165	11	6.7%	163	6	3.7%
	5821	SEWARD BR	209	4	1.9%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5890	SHERMAN	749	28	3.7%	78	1	1.3%	83	5	6.0%	113	12	10.6%	87	4	4.6%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	FOURTH GRADE			FIFTH GRADE			SIXTH GRADE			SEVENTH GRADE			EIGHTH GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
51 South Deering		COMMUNITY TOTALS	184	7	3.8%	180	4	2.2%	169	5	3.0%	179	1	0.6%	146	6	4.1%
	2481	ANTHONY BR BURNHA	18	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%	21	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	2390	BRIGHT	54	0	0.0%	57	0	0.0%	50	0	0.0%	73	0	0.0%	47	0	0.0%
	2480	BURNHAM	21	3	14.3%	17	1	5.9%	6	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4551	GOLDSMITH BR LUELLA	25	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4550	LUELLA	26	4	15.4%	26	3	11.5%	55	4	7.3%	73	1	1.4%	59	6	10.2%
	4630	MARSH	40	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	37	1	2.7%	33	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%
52 East Side		COMMUNITY TOTALS	255	3	1.2%	223	3	1.3%	229	0	0.0%	243	3	1.2%	231	0	0.0%
	2020	ADDAMS	46	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%	41	0	0.0%	58	1	1.7%	38	0	0.0%
	3490	GALLISTEL LANG ACAD	88	0	0.0%	76	0	0.0%	66	0	0.0%	77	0	0.0%	67	0	0.0%
	6150	TAYLOR	66	3	4.5%	57	3	5.3%	55	0	0.0%	53	2	3.8%	64	0	0.0%
	6360	WASHINGTON ELEM	55	0	0.0%	50	0	0.0%	67	0	0.0%	55	0	0.0%	62	0	0.0%
53 West Pullman		COMMUNITY TOTALS	621	11	1.8%	539	8	1.5%	546	3	0.5%	527	3	0.6%	546	0	0.0%
	3570	GOMPERS	111	2	1.8%	110	0	0.0%	116	0	0.0%	94	0	0.0%	118	0	0.0%
	7210	HIGGINS COMM ACAD	70	3	4.3%	50	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%	52	0	0.0%	45	0	0.0%
	3190	METCALFE MAGNET	103	1	1.0%	110	1	0.9%	91	0	0.0%	103	1	1.0%	72	0	0.0%
	5040	NANSEN	60	3	5.0%	49	3	6.1%	52	3	5.8%	52	0	0.0%	70	0	0.0%
	3470	OWENS COMM ACAD	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5740	SCANLAN	102	0	0.0%	83	0	0.0%	80	0	0.0%	65	0	0.0%	87	0	0.0%
	6400	WEST PULLMAN	87	0	0.0%	76	4	5.3%	65	0	0.0%	82	0	0.0%	82	0	0.0%
	6420	WHISTLER	50	1	2.0%	44	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%	43	2	4.7%	46	0	0.0%
	7440	WHITE BR	38	1	2.6%	17	0	0.0%	32	0	0.0%	36	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%
54 Riverdale		COMMUNITY TOTALS	234	1	0.4%	220	4	1.8%	230	3	1.3%	179	1	0.6%	209	14	6.7%
	2710	ALDRIDGE	55	0	0.0%	52	2	3.8%	69	0	0.0%	39	0	0.0%	48	0	0.0%
	2700	CARVER MIDDLE	127	0	0.0%	122	0	0.0%	118	1	0.8%	108	0	0.0%	113	14	12.4%
	2690	CARVER PRIMARY	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	8010	DU BOIS	52	1	1.9%	46	2	4.3%	43	2	4.7%	32	1	3.1%	48	0	0.0%
	2691	WHEATLEY CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
55 Hegewisch		COMMUNITY TOTALS	77	2	2.6%	67	0	0.0%	75	0	0.0%	74	0	0.0%	91	0	0.0%
	2790	CLAY	44	2	4.5%	50	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%	47	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%
	3580	GRISSOM	33	0	0.0%	17	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%	27	0	0.0%	27	0	0.0%
56 Garfield Ridge		COMMUNITY TOTALS	197	3	1.5%	168	10	6.0%	148	11	7.4%	155	12	7.7%	164	0	0.0%
	2570	BYRNE	49	1	2.0%	26	1	3.8%	40	3	7.5%	31	6	19.4%	53	0	0.0%
	3890	HEARST	95	2	2.1%	79	3	3.8%	56	5	8.9%	77	6	7.8%	72	0	0.0%
	6240	TWAIN	53	0	0.0%	63	6	9.5%	52	3	5.8%	47	0	0.0%	39	0	0.0%
57 Archer Heights	3200	EDWARDS	83	1	1.2%	86	1	1.2%	84	0	0.0%	97	2	2.1%	63	8	12.7%
58 Brighton Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	339	14	4.1%	313	12	3.8%	311	4	1.3%	278	5	1.8%	228	1	0.4%
	2540	BURROUGHS	37	5	13.5%	40	0	0.0%	49	0	0.0%	36	0	0.0%	34	0	0.0%
	2970	DAVIS	95	4	4.2%	90	8	8.9%	91	3	3.3%	84	4	4.8%	76	0	0.0%
	3690	GUNSAULUS SCHOL AC	95	1	1.1%	87	2	2.3%	85	1	1.2%	65	0	0.0%	57	0	0.0%
	5910	SHIELDS	112	4	3.6%	96	2	2.1%	86	0	0.0%	93	1	1.1%	61	1	1.6%
59 McKinley Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	107	10	9.3%	98	6	6.1%	100	0	0.0%	114	0	0.0%	84	0	0.0%
	3260	EVERETT	49	4	8.2%	39	2	5.1%	45	0	0.0%	49	0	0.0%	38	0	0.0%
	3650	GREENE NATHANAEL	58	6	10.3%	59	4	6.8%	55	0	0.0%	65	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%
60 Bridgeport		COMMUNITY TOTALS	302	8	2.6%	309	9	2.9%	300	4	1.3%	267	1	0.4%	248	1	0.4%
	2070	ARMOUR	50	1	2.0%	64	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%	41	1	2.4%
	3880	HEALY	117	2	1.7%	108	4	3.7%	98	1	1.0%	104	0	0.0%	74	0	0.0%
	4020	HOLDEN	42	0	0.0%	44	0	0.0%	52	0	0.0%	44	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%
	4710	MCCLELLAN	31	5	16.1%	33	3	9.1%	36	3	8.3%	27	1	3.7%	25	0	0.0%
	4920	SHERIDAN M MAGNET	62	0	0.0%	60	2	3.3%	56	0	0.0%	49	0	0.0%	52	0	0.0%
61 New City		COMMUNITY TOTALS	911	42	4.6%	818	64	7.8%	690	18	2.6%	665	24	3.6%	586	15	2.6%
	3030	DEWEY	79	13	16.5%	68	14	20.6%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3450	FULTON	16	2	12.5%	146	19	13.0%	135	3	2.2%	105	4	3.8%	105	2	1.9%
	7150	FULTON BRANCH	144	4	2.8%	14	4	28.6%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3600	GRAHAM	78	2	2.6%	58	0	0.0%	65	1	1.5%	58	2	3.4%	46	0	0.0%
	3740	HAMLINE	106	7	6.6%	96	6	6.3%	76	1	1.3%	92	10	10.9%	72	1	1.4%
	3900	HEDGES	39	0	0.0%	36	3	8.3%	63	1	1.6%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6561	HEDGES CENTRAL BR	0	0	n/a	21	3	14.3%	47	2	4.3%	95	3	3.2%	99	11	11.1%
	6560	HEDGES EAST BR	59	2	3.4%	26	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3902	HEDGES WEST BR	32	0	0.0%	37	1	2.7%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4470	LIBBY	126	1	0.8%	116	10	8.6%	105	2	1.9%	107	1	0.9%	83	0	0.0%
	5820	SEWARD	30	3	10.0%	18	2	11.1%	123	8	6.5%	135	4	3.0%	117	1	0.9%
	5821	SEWARD BR	113	2	1.8%	96	2	2.1%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5890	SHERMAN	89	6	6.7%	86	0	0.0%	76	0	0.0%	73	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	WHOLE SCHOOL			KINDERGARTEN			FIRST GRADE			SECOND GRADE			THIRD GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
62 West Elsdon		COMMUNITY TOTALS	918	14	1.5%	75	1	1.3%	118	8	6.8%	106	1	0.9%	124	3	2.4%
	5310	PASTEUR	435	7	1.6%	40	0	0.0%	48	4	8.3%	51	0	0.0%	68	3	4.4%
	5340	PECK	483	7	1.4%	35	1	2.9%	70	4	5.7%	55	1	1.8%	56	0	0.0%
63 Gage Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	2,236	50	2.2%	220	0	0.0%	271	15	5.5%	254	8	3.1%	263	2	0.8%
	2780	CHRISTOPHER PHY HAN	65	3	4.6%	0	0	n/a	0	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	5	0	0.0%
	5090	NIGHTINGALE	966	7	0.7%	102	0	0.0%	120	2	1.7%	120	1	0.8%	129	0	0.0%
	5710	SAWYER	501	31	6.2%	49	0	0.0%	65	7	10.8%	61	7	11.5%	57	0	0.0%
	6220	TONTI	704	9	1.3%	69	0	0.0%	86	6	7.0%	73	0	0.0%	72	2	2.8%
64 Clearing		COMMUNITY TOTALS	816	29	3.6%	61	0	0.0%	90	6	6.7%	87	3	3.4%	99	3	3.0%
	3080	DORE	95	4	4.2%	6	0	0.0%	8	1	12.5%	16	1	6.3%	19	1	5.3%
	3681	FLEMING BR	146	6	4.1%	9	0	0.0%	20	1	5.0%	12	0	0.0%	20	1	5.0%
	3680	GRIMES	188	6	3.2%	8	0	0.0%	22	3	13.6%	17	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%
	3710	HALE	387	13	3.4%	38	0	0.0%	40	1	2.5%	42	2	4.8%	36	1	2.8%
65 West Lawn		COMMUNITY TOTALS	730	30	4.1%	60	3	5.0%	83	7	8.4%	90	7	7.8%	92	3	3.3%
	4120	HURLEY	411	19	4.6%	30	3	10.0%	50	3	6.0%	54	4	7.4%	54	3	5.6%
	7170	LEE	319	11	3.4%	30	0	0.0%	33	4	12.1%	36	3	8.3%	38	0	0.0%
66 Chicago Lawn		COMMUNITY TOTALS	4,142	169	4.1%	392	1	0.3%	523	58	11.1%	438	19	4.3%	519	24	4.6%
	3140	EBERHART	610	31	5.1%	65	1	1.5%	75	10	13.3%	65	1	1.5%	77	2	2.6%
	7370	HANCOCK BR	302	3	1.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4330	KINZIE	246	2	0.8%	17	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%
	4620	MARQUETTE	1,023	59	5.8%	122	0	0.0%	183	26	14.2%	153	8	5.2%	161	14	8.7%
	4760	MCKAY	893	48	5.4%	77	0	0.0%	123	16	13.0%	98	8	8.2%	113	3	2.7%
	4880	MORRILL	1,068	26	2.4%	111	0	0.0%	116	6	5.2%	93	2	2.2%	131	5	3.8%
67 West Englewood		COMMUNITY TOTALS	8,778	369	4.2%	907	12	1.3%	1114	68	6.1%	1075	54	5.0%	1189	71	6.0%
	2050	ALTGELD	974	23	2.4%	94	0	0.0%	129	0	0.0%	113	4	3.5%	130	10	7.7%
	5750	BONTEMPS	706	58	8.2%	99	7	7.1%	82	13	15.9%	84	3	3.6%	86	8	9.3%
	6830	BUNCHE	775	41	5.3%	84	0	0.0%	100	10	10.0%	97	10	10.3%	111	9	8.1%
	2900	COPERNICUS	499	8	1.6%	33	0	0.0%	49	0	0.0%	60	0	0.0%	94	4	4.3%
	3130	EARLE	806	54	6.7%	59	2	3.4%	115	8	7.0%	89	7	7.9%	114	16	14.0%
	7080	GERSHWIN	651	16	2.5%	78	3	3.8%	87	8	9.2%	89	4	4.5%	84	0	0.0%
	3290	GOODLOW MAGNET	790	20	2.5%	120	0	0.0%	103	1	1.0%	110	4	3.6%	98	5	5.1%
	3920	HENDERSON	900	43	4.8%	109	0	0.0%	115	3	2.6%	107	10	9.3%	124	10	8.1%
	5230	OTOOLE	1,080	53	4.9%	95	0	0.0%	127	13	10.2%	117	10	8.5%	141	7	5.0%
	3550	RANDOLPH MAGNET	805	24	3.0%	113	0	0.0%	100	5	5.0%	102	0	0.0%	103	2	1.9%
	5540	RASTER	451	20	4.4%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7180	RASTER BR	341	9	2.6%	23	0	n/a	107	7	6.5%	107	2	1.9%	104	0	0.0%
68 Englewood		COMMUNITY TOTALS	9,520	595	6.3%	947	3	0.3%	1129	123	10.9%	1111	110	9.9%	1131	87	7.7%
	6880	BANNEKER	640	63	9.8%	56	0	0.0%	81	6	7.4%	85	7	8.2%	82	8	9.8%
	2180	BASS	673	22	3.3%	65	1	1.5%	96	12	12.5%	81	3	3.7%	89	0	0.0%
	2200	BEALE	714	70	9.8%	77	0	0.0%	90	20	22.2%	85	20	23.5%	93	11	11.8%
	6550	BOND	426	33	7.7%	41	0	0.0%	52	0	0.0%	52	9	17.3%	67	18	26.9%
	5160	GOETHALS HVOC	242	23	9.5%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7050	GUGGENHEIM	417	22	5.3%	38	2	5.3%	46	2	4.3%	50	7	14.0%	62	3	4.8%
	7250	HINTON	611	60	9.8%	55	0	0.0%	75	11	14.7%	95	16	16.8%	70	0	0.0%
	4030	HOLMES	917	71	7.7%	131	0	0.0%	153	30	19.6%	163	15	9.2%	166	10	6.0%
	4130	HOPE COMM ACADEMY	984	47	4.8%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4270	KERSHAW	467	13	2.8%	63	0	0.0%	77	4	5.2%	83	5	6.0%	66	2	3.0%
	5270	PARKER COMM ACAD	790	40	5.1%	110	0	0.0%	119	9	7.6%	102	4	3.9%	99	8	8.1%
	5271	PARKER CPC	24	0	0.0%	24	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6870	REED	625	15	2.4%	53	0	0.0%	77	4	5.2%	60	2	3.3%	75	3	4.0%
	5900	SHERWOOD	378	12	3.2%	64	0	0.0%	58	4	6.9%	55	2	3.6%	61	6	9.8%
	7760	STAGG	743	47	6.3%	74	0	0.0%	95	17	17.9%	99	12	12.1%	84	5	6.0%
	6390	WENTWORTH	869	57	6.6%	96	0	0.0%	110	4	3.6%	101	8	7.9%	117	13	11.1%
69 Greater Grand Crossing		COMMUNITY TOTALS	3,720	196	5.3%	346	0	0.0%	382	45	11.8%	445	23	5.2%	523	42	8.0%
	2410	BROWNELL	277	28	10.1%	42	0	0.0%	38	4	10.5%	38	7	18.4%	42	3	7.1%
	3010	DENEEN	498	36	7.2%	16	0	0.0%	39	1	2.6%	47	3	6.4%	66	11	16.7%
	3800	HARVARD	724	26	3.6%	62	0	0.0%	72	7	9.7%	83	0	0.0%	95	6	6.3%
	5610	REVERE	537	28	5.2%	65	0	0.0%	64	12	18.8%	73	6	8.2%	77	8	10.4%
	5660	RUGGLES	531	21	4.0%	38	0	0.0%	49	4	8.2%	62	3	4.8%	75	0	0.0%
	6970	TANNER	509	11	2.2%	53	0	0.0%	46	4	8.7%	66	0	0.0%	69	0	0.0%
	6500	YALE	644	46	7.1%	70	0	0.0%	74	13	17.6%	76	4	5.3%	99	14	14.1%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	FOURTH GRADE			FIFTH GRADE			SIXTH GRADE			SEVENTH GRADE			EIGHTH GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
62 West Elsdon		COMMUNITY TOTALS	101	1	1.0%	107	0	0.0%	100	0	0.0%	83	0	0.0%	104	0	0.0%
	5310	PASTEUR	47	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%	50	0	0.0%	35	0	0.0%	50	0	0.0%
	5340	PECK	54	1	1.9%	61	0	0.0%	50	0	0.0%	48	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%
63 Gage Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	256	8	3.1%	257	9	3.5%	237	2	0.8%	269	4	1.5%	209	2	1.0%
	2780	CHRISTOPHER PHY HAN	8	0	0.0%	14	2	14.3%	13	1	7.7%	15	0	0.0%	10	0	0.0%
	5090	NIGHTINGALE	118	3	2.5%	86	0	0.0%	96	0	0.0%	119	1	0.8%	76	0	0.0%
	5710	SAWYER	58	4	6.9%	65	7	10.8%	47	1	2.1%	57	3	5.3%	42	2	4.8%
	6220	TONTI	72	1	1.4%	92	0	0.0%	81	0	0.0%	78	0	0.0%	81	0	0.0%
64 Clearing		COMMUNITY TOTALS	81	6	7.4%	113	2	1.8%	82	1	1.2%	101	3	3.0%	102	5	4.9%
	3080	DORE	17	1	5.9%	16	0	0.0%	13	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3681	FLEMING BR	16	2	12.5%	17	0	0.0%	19	0	0.0%	21	1	4.8%	12	1	8.3%
	3680	GRIMES	24	3	12.5%	29	0	0.0%	19	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%	22	0	0.0%
	3710	HALE	24	0	0.0%	51	2	3.9%	31	1	3.2%	57	2	3.5%	68	4	5.9%
65 West Lawn		COMMUNITY TOTALS	87	2	2.3%	71	2	2.8%	80	5	6.3%	81	1	1.2%	86	0	0.0%
	4120	HURLEY	51	2	3.9%	39	0	0.0%	42	3	7.1%	44	1	2.3%	47	0	0.0%
	7170	LEE	36	0	0.0%	32	2	6.3%	38	2	5.3%	37	0	0.0%	39	0	0.0%
66 Chicago Lawn		COMMUNITY TOTALS	474	17	3.6%	456	25	5.5%	462	13	2.8%	444	7	1.6%	434	5	1.2%
	3140	EBERHART	73	3	4.1%	68	10	14.7%	62	3	4.8%	64	0	0.0%	61	1	1.6%
	7370	HANCOCK BR	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	30	0	0.0%	139	3	2.2%	133	0	0.0%
	4330	KINZIE	23	0	0.0%	29	2	6.9%	28	0	0.0%	25	0	0.0%	32	0	0.0%
	4620	MARQUETTE	148	4	2.7%	133	2	1.5%	123	5	4.1%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4760	MCKAY	98	6	6.1%	106	8	7.5%	87	3	3.4%	96	1	1.0%	95	3	3.2%
	4880	MORRILL	132	4	3.0%	120	3	2.5%	132	2	1.5%	120	3	2.5%	113	1	0.9%
67 West Englewood		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1039	72	6.9%	929	35	3.8%	924	25	2.7%	805	27	3.4%	796	5	0.6%
	2050	ALTGELD	123	3	2.4%	104	2	1.9%	103	2	1.9%	83	2	2.4%	95	0	0.0%
	5750	BONTEMPS	92	20	21.7%	79	5	6.3%	69	2	2.9%	55	0	0.0%	60	0	0.0%
	6830	BUNCHE	106	7	6.6%	77	5	6.5%	71	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	71	0	0.0%
	2900	COPERNICUS	56	2	3.6%	41	2	4.9%	61	0	0.0%	44	0	0.0%	61	0	0.0%
	3130	EARLE	92	11	12.0%	102	5	4.9%	93	4	4.3%	80	0	0.0%	62	1	1.6%
	7080	GERSHWIN	66	1	1.5%	57	0	0.0%	68	0	0.0%	63	0	0.0%	59	0	0.0%
	3290	GOODLOW MAGNET	84	2	2.4%	69	0	0.0%	68	4	5.9%	79	3	3.8%	59	1	1.7%
	3920	HENDERSON	108	6	5.6%	96	6	6.3%	91	3	3.3%	63	3	4.8%	87	2	2.3%
	5230	OTOOLE	115	9	7.8%	128	2	1.6%	132	2	1.5%	121	10	8.3%	104	0	0.0%
	3550	RANDOLPH MAGNET	91	4	4.4%	88	4	4.5%	78	8	10.3%	68	0	0.0%	62	1	1.6%
	5540	RASTER	106	7	6.6%	88	4	4.5%	90	0	0.0%	91	9	9.9%	76	0	0.0%
	7180	RASTER BR	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
68 Englewood		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1078	71	6.6%	1045	48	4.6%	1079	56	5.2%	1049	51	4.9%	951	46	4.8%
	6880	BANNEKER	85	10	11.8%	73	5	6.8%	78	8	10.3%	54	17	31.5%	46	2	4.3%
	2180	BASS	61	0	0.0%	78	2	2.6%	67	0	0.0%	69	1	1.4%	67	3	4.5%
	2200	BEALE	112	7	6.3%	69	4	5.8%	77	8	10.4%	55	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%
	6550	BOND	52	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%	55	2	3.6%	33	1	3.0%	37	3	8.1%
	5160	GOETHALS EVGC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	151	0	0.0%	91	23	25.3%
	7050	GUGGENHEIM	44	1	2.3%	47	4	8.5%	46	3	6.5%	50	0	0.0%	34	0	0.0%
	7250	HINTON	62	8	12.9%	67	12	17.9%	63	10	15.9%	75	2	2.7%	49	1	2.0%
	4030	HOLMES	150	8	5.3%	154	8	5.2%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4130	HOPE COMM ACADEMY	17	0	0.0%	36	0	0.0%	347	19	5.5%	294	22	7.5%	290	6	2.1%
	4270	KERSHAW	73	2	2.7%	55	0	0.0%	50	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	5270	PARKER COMM ACAD	87	7	8.0%	102	4	3.9%	59	4	6.8%	55	1	1.8%	57	3	5.3%
	5271	PARKER CPC	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6870	REED	59	2	3.4%	80	1	1.3%	69	2	2.9%	72	1	1.4%	80	0	0.0%
	5900	SHERWOOD	72	0	0.0%	68	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	7760	STAGG	102	11	10.8%	79	2	2.5%	83	0	0.0%	62	0	0.0%	65	0	0.0%
	6390	WENTWORTH	102	15	14.7%	100	6	6.0%	85	0	0.0%	79	6	7.6%	79	5	6.3%
69 Greater Grand Crossing		COMMUNITY TOTALS	429	15	3.5%	442	21	4.8%	409	10	2.4%	394	16	4.1%	350	24	6.9%
	2410	BROWNELL	42	4	9.5%	44	5	11.4%	31	5	16.1%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3010	DENEEN	55	3	5.5%	60	6	10.0%	62	0	0.0%	74	2	2.7%	79	10	12.7%
	3800	HARVARD	81	2	2.5%	92	0	0.0%	102	0	0.0%	61	0	0.0%	76	11	14.5%
	5610	REVERE	63	1	1.6%	51	0	0.0%	47	0	0.0%	63	1	1.6%	34	0	0.0%
	5660	RUGGLES	61	1	1.6%	61	1	1.6%	68	2	2.9%	65	8	12.3%	52	2	3.8%
	6970	TANNER	60	2	3.3%	58	0	0.0%	46	3	6.5%	64	1	1.6%	47	1	2.1%
	6500	YALE	67	2	3.0%	76	9	11.8%	53	0	0.0%	67	4	6.0%	62	0	0.0%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	WHOLE SCHOOL			KINDERGARTEN			FIRST GRADE			SECOND GRADE			THIRD GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
70 Ashburn		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,904	37	1.9%	155	1	0.6%	175	15	8.6%	199	7	3.5%	221	2	0.9%
	2650	CARROLL	271	6	2.2%	20	0	0.0%	14	1	7.1%	19	0	0.0%	23	0	0.0%
	2980	DAWES	563	10	1.8%	50	1	2.0%	59	6	10.2%	52	0	0.0%	64	0	0.0%
	7240	LENART BR	227	1	0.4%	0	0	n/a	26	1	3.8%	29	0	0.0%	32	0	0.0%
	5240	OWEN SCHOL ACAD	276	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	34	0	0.0%
	2651	ROSENWALD BR	165	7	4.2%	10	0	0.0%	16	3	18.8%	30	1	3.3%	22	0	0.0%
	6030	STEVENSON	402	13	3.2%	46	0	0.0%	30	4	13.3%	39	6	15.4%	46	2	4.3%
71 Auburn Gresham		COMMUNITY TOTALS	6,012	326	5.4%	573	13	2.3%	708	78	11.0%	709	76	10.7%	737	32	4.3%
	2170	BARTON	952	77	8.1%	72	0	0.0%	106	22	20.8%	113	20	17.7%	115	4	3.5%
	2860	COOK	900	107	11.9%	109	9	8.3%	108	16	14.8%	104	22	21.2%	116	12	10.3%
	4090	CUFFE	306	23	7.5%	38	4	10.5%	54	11	20.4%	40	2	5.0%	42	0	0.0%
	3430	FOSTER PARK	499	13	2.6%	36	0	0.0%	56	5	8.9%	57	4	7.0%	68	1	1.5%
	3670	GRESHAM	515	19	3.7%	45	0	0.0%	68	4	5.9%	66	4	6.1%	54	6	11.1%
	8090	JACKSON MAHALIA	446	24	5.4%	52	0	0.0%	41	1	2.4%	58	8	13.8%	39	3	7.7%
	2330	JOPLIN	763	16	2.1%	59	0	0.0%	77	6	7.8%	85	2	2.4%	108	1	0.9%
	4830	MORGAN	449	13	2.9%	32	0	0.0%	46	3	6.5%	56	6	10.7%	44	2	4.5%
	5170	OGLESBY	837	20	2.4%	102	0	0.0%	112	3	2.7%	95	2	2.1%	108	3	2.8%
	5670	RYDER	345	14	4.1%	28	0	0.0%	40	7	17.5%	35	6	17.1%	43	0	0.0%
72 Beverly		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,505	31	2.1%	219	3	1.4%	159	10	6.3%	160	5	3.1%	153	4	2.6%
	2150	BARNARD	358	1	0.3%	60	0	0.0%	40	1	2.5%	37	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%
	4240	KELLOGG	285	5	1.8%	34	1	2.9%	33	3	9.1%	30	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%
	6120	SUTHERLAND	554	17	3.1%	97	2	2.1%	58	2	3.4%	63	5	7.9%	54	4	7.4%
	6250	VANDERPOEL MAGNET	308	8	2.6%	28	0	0.0%	28	4	14.3%	30	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%
73 Washington Hts.		COMMUNITY TOTALS	3,541	85	2.4%	292	5	1.7%	391	26	6.6%	392	9	2.3%	476	10	2.1%
	7990	EVERS	287	10	3.5%	18	0	0.0%	31	5	16.1%	27	4	14.8%	40	0	0.0%
	3330	FERNWOOD	421	7	1.7%	47	0	0.0%	49	5	10.2%	52	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%
	3400	PORT DEARBORN	890	29	3.3%	82	5	6.1%	89	5	5.6%	105	0	0.0%	127	0	0.0%
	5420	GARVEY MARCUS	465	1	0.2%	31	0	0.0%	46	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	54	1	1.9%
	4410	GREEN WENDELL	346	14	4.0%	26	0	0.0%	39	2	5.1%	36	0	0.0%	47	5	10.6%
	4350	KIPLING	287	10	3.5%	29	0	0.0%	34	4	11.8%	25	0	0.0%	43	2	4.7%
	4980	MT VERNON	537	13	2.4%	37	0	0.0%	75	4	5.3%	59	5	8.5%	66	2	3.0%
	8030	WACKER	308	1	0.3%	22	0	0.0%	28	1	3.6%	30	0	0.0%	45	0	0.0%
74 Mt. Greenwood		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,477	26	1.8%	110	2	1.8%	148	11	7.4%	173	2	1.2%	224	4	1.8%
	2720	CASSELL	209	8	3.8%	31	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	28	1	3.6%	36	3	8.3%
	4960	KELLER MAGNET	166	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	25	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%
	4940	MT GREENWOOD	493	7	1.4%	32	2	6.3%	52	4	7.7%	54	0	0.0%	78	1	1.3%
	5930	SHOOP	609	11	1.8%	47	0	0.0%	43	7	16.3%	63	1	1.6%	81	0	0.0%
75 Morgan Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	1,139	47	4.2%	116	4	3.4%	138	15	10.9%	135	5	3.7%	152	6	3.9%
	2820	CLISSOLD	611	18	2.9%	68	4	5.9%	71	1	1.4%	82	2	2.4%	83	2	2.4%
	3250	ESMOND	518	29	5.6%	48	0	0.0%	67	14	20.9%	53	3	5.7%	69	4	5.8%
76 O'Hare	2950	DIRKSEN	536	24	4.5%	64	5	7.8%	78	2	2.6%	58	1	1.7%	60	3	5.0%
77 Edgewater		COMMUNITY TOTALS	3,201	81	2.5%	326	3	0.9%	407	23	5.7%	360	16	4.4%	405	10	2.5%
	3850	HAYT	715	26	3.6%	60	0	0.0%	104	9	8.7%	72	7	9.7%	99	2	2.0%
	5360	PEIRCE	871	27	3.1%	85	0	0.0%	104	11	10.6%	98	3	3.1%	103	4	3.9%
	6130	SWIFT	752	13	1.7%	93	0	0.0%	87	3	3.4%	98	2	2.0%	101	4	4.0%
	6230	TRUMBULL	863	15	1.7%	88	3	3.4%	112	0	0.0%	92	4	4.3%	102	0	0.0%

Community Area	Unit#	School name	FOURTH GRADE			FIFTH GRADE			SIXTH GRADE			SEVENTH GRADE			EIGHTH GRADE		
			Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.	Enrl	#Ret.	%Ret.
70 Ashburn		COMMUNITY TOTALS	218	7	3.2%	248	1	0.4%	243	0	0.0%	221	0	0.0%	224	4	1.8%
	2650	CARROLL	29	1	3.4%	32	0	0.0%	35	0	0.0%	47	0	0.0%	52	4	7.7%
	2980	DAWES	63	3	4.8%	62	0	0.0%	73	0	0.0%	70	0	0.0%	70	0	0.0%
	7240	LENART BR	33	0	0.0%	22	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%
	5240	OWEN SCHOL ACAD	32	0	0.0%	32	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	32	0	0.0%	29	0	0.0%
	2651	ROSENWALD BR	30	3	10.0%	29	0	0.0%	28	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	6030	STEVENSON	31	0	0.0%	71	1	1.4%	49	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%	47	0	0.0%
71 Auburn Gresham		COMMUNITY TOTALS	718	31	4.3%	650	25	3.8%	673	40	5.9%	640	11	1.7%	604	20	3.3%
	2170	BARTON	129	2	1.6%	100	10	10.0%	107	19	17.8%	114	0	0.0%	96	0	0.0%
	2860	COOK	85	9	10.6%	100	9	9.0%	87	10	11.5%	104	2	1.9%	87	18	20.7%
	4090	CUFFE	52	1	1.9%	39	3	7.7%	41	2	4.9%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	3430	FOSTER PARK	57	0	0.0%	57	0	0.0%	64	1	1.6%	60	2	3.3%	44	0	0.0%
	3670	GRESHAM	55	3	5.5%	59	0	0.0%	56	0	0.0%	47	0	0.0%	65	2	3.1%
	8090	JACKSON MAHALIA	57	5	8.8%	41	2	4.9%	52	5	9.6%	58	0	0.0%	48	0	0.0%
	2330	JOPLIN	96	3	3.1%	85	0	0.0%	85	2	2.4%	84	2	2.4%	84	0	0.0%
	4830	MORGAN	52	1	1.9%	58	0	0.0%	45	1	2.2%	53	0	0.0%	63	0	0.0%
	5170	OGLESBY	105	7	6.7%	77	1	1.3%	82	0	0.0%	81	4	4.9%	75	0	0.0%
	5670	RYDER	30	0	0.0%	34	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%	39	1	2.6%	42	0	0.0%
72 Beverly		COMMUNITY TOTALS	154	0	0.0%	163	2	1.2%	147	2	1.4%	181	4	2.2%	169	1	0.6%
	2150	BARNARD	45	0	0.0%	35	0	0.0%	31	0	0.0%	32	0	0.0%	38	0	0.0%
	4240	KELLOGG	29	0	0.0%	38	1	2.6%	34	0	0.0%	31	0	0.0%	27	0	0.0%
	6120	SUTHERLAND	51	0	0.0%	62	0	0.0%	51	2	3.9%	63	2	3.2%	55	0	0.0%
	6250	VANDERPOEL MAGNET	29	0	0.0%	28	1	3.6%	31	0	0.0%	55	2	3.6%	49	1	2.0%
73 Washington Hts.		COMMUNITY TOTALS	409	6	1.5%	396	9	2.3%	395	9	2.3%	369	4	1.1%	421	7	1.7%
	7990	EVERS	24	0	0.0%	33	0	0.0%	40	0	0.0%	29	1	3.4%	45	0	0.0%
	3330	FERNWOOD	44	0	0.0%	55	0	0.0%	43	1	2.3%	33	1	3.0%	44	0	0.0%
	3400	PORT DEARBORN	122	4	3.3%	85	4	4.7%	95	5	5.3%	86	0	0.0%	99	6	6.1%
	5420	GARVEY MARCUS	50	0	0.0%	53	0	0.0%	50	0	0.0%	57	0	0.0%	66	0	0.0%
	4410	GREEN WENDELL	44	0	0.0%	46	2	4.3%	34	3	8.8%	38	1	2.6%	36	1	2.8%
	4350	KIPLING	31	0	0.0%	40	3	7.5%	23	0	0.0%	35	1	2.9%	27	0	0.0%
	4980	MT VERNON	55	2	3.6%	58	0	0.0%	72	0	0.0%	54	0	0.0%	61	0	0.0%
	8030	WACKER	39	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	38	0	0.0%	37	0	0.0%	43	0	0.0%
74 Mt. Greenwood		COMMUNITY TOTALS	188	3	1.6%	205	2	1.0%	175	2	1.1%	124	0	0.0%	130	0	0.0%
	2720	CASSELL	34	0	0.0%	23	2	8.7%	29	2	6.9%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4960	KELLER MAGNET	28	0	0.0%	30	0	0.0%	26	0	0.0%	0	0	n/a	0	0	n/a
	4940	MT GREENWOOD	42	0	0.0%	53	0	0.0%	63	0	0.0%	58	0	0.0%	61	0	0.0%
	5930	SHOOP	84	3	3.6%	99	0	0.0%	57	0	0.0%	66	0	0.0%	69	0	0.0%
75 Morgan Park		COMMUNITY TOTALS	133	6	4.5%	120	2	1.7%	131	6	4.6%	104	3	2.9%	100	0	0.0%
	2820	CLISSOLD	76	1	1.3%	60	0	0.0%	70	5	7.1%	56	3	5.4%	45	0	0.0%
	3250	EDMOND	57	5	8.8%	60	2	3.3%	61	1	1.6%	48	0	0.0%	55	0	0.0%
76 O'Hare	2950	DIRKSEN	60	5	8.3%	62	3	4.8%	61	3	4.9%	45	2	4.4%	68	0	0.0%
77 Edgewater		COMMUNITY TOTALS	384	9	2.3%	336	5	1.5%	325	11	3.4%	329	3	0.9%	329	1	0.3%
	3850	HAYT	82	2	2.4%	76	3	3.9%	73	2	2.7%	74	1	1.4%	75	0	0.0%
	5360	PEIRCE	99	3	3.0%	103	2	1.9%	97	1	1.0%	89	2	2.2%	93	1	1.1%
	6130	SWIFT	86	3	3.5%	74	0	0.0%	72	1	1.4%	66	0	0.0%	75	0	0.0%
	6230	TRUMBULL	117	1	0.9%	83	0	0.0%	83	7	8.4%	100	0	0.0%	86	0	0.0%

INDEX OF SCHOOLS BY COMMUNITY AREA

This index lists each school alphabetically, followed by its unit number and community area. The schools are listed in alphabetical order. Once you find out what community area a school is in you can find the school's retention data on the table on pages 13 to 31.

INDEX OF SCHOOLS BY COMMUNITY AREA

School name	Unit#	Community Area	
ABBOTT	2010	34	Armour Square
ADDAMS	2020	52	East Side
AGASSIZ	2030	6	Lake View
ALCOTT	2040	7	Lincoln Park
ALDRIDGE	2710	54	Riverdale
ALTGELD	2050	67	West Englewood
ANDERSEN	2060	24	West Town
ANDERSEN EVOC BR	7310	24	West Town
ANTHONY BR BURNH	2481	51	South Deering
ARAI MIDDLE	4590	3	Uptown
ARMOUR	2070	60	Bridgeport
ARMSTRONG GEORGE	2080	2	West Ridge
ARMSTRONG LOUIS	5700	25	Austin
ARMSTRONG LOUIS B	7320	25	Austin
ATTUCKS	2100	35	Douglas
AUDUBON	2110	5	North Center
AVALON PARK	2130	45	Avalon Park
AVONDALE	2140	21	Avondale
BANNEKER	6880	68	Englewood
BARNARD	2150	72	Beverly
BARRY	2160	20	Hermosa
BARTON	2170	71	Auburn Gresham
BASS	2180	68	Englewood
BATEMAN	2190	16	Irving Park
BEALE	2200	68	Englewood
BEASLEY ACAD CTR	6660	40	Washington Park
BEAUBIEN	2240	11	Jefferson Park
BEETHOVEN	6540	37	Fuller Park
BEIDLER	2250	27	East Garfield Park
BELDING	2260	16	Irving Park
BELL	2270	5	North Center
BENNETT	2280	49	Roseland
BETHUNE	8020	27	East Garfield Park
BLACK MAGNET	7860	48	Calumet Heights
BLACK MAGNET BRA	7861	43	South Shore
BLAINE	2300	6	Lake View
BOND	6550	68	Englewood
BONTEMPS	5750	67	West Englewood
BOONE	2320	2	West Ridge
BRADWELL	2340	43	South Shore
BRENAN	2360	49	Roseland
BRENNEMANN	6600	3	Uptown
BRENTANO	2370	22	Logan Square
BRIDGE	2380	17	Dunning
BRIGHT	2390	51	South Deering
BROWN	2400	28	Near West Side
BROWNELL	2410	69	Greater Grand Crossing
BRYN MAWR	2430	43	South Shore
BRYN MAWR BR	7380	43	South Shore
BUDLONG	2440	4	Lincoln Square
BUNCHE	6830	67	West Englewood
BURBANK	2450	19	Belmont Cragin
BURKE	2460	40	Washington Park
BURLEY	2470	6	Lake View
BURNHAM	2480	51	South Deering
BURNS	2510	30	South Lawndale
BURNSIDE SCHOL AC	2520	44	Chatham
BURR	2530	24	West Town
BURROUGHS	2540	58	Brighton Park
BYFORD	2550	25	Austin
BYRD COMM ACADE	2560	8	Near North Side
BYRNE	2570	56	Garfield Ridge
CALDWELL	2580	45	Avalon Park
CALHOUN NORTH	6610	27	East Garfield Park
CAMERON	2610	23	Humboldt Park
CANTY	2620	17	Dunning
CARDENAS	4320	30	South Lawndale
CARNEGIE	2630	42	Woodlawn
CARPENTER	2640	24	West Town
CARROLL	2650	70	Ashburn
CARTER	2670	40	Washington Park
CARVER MIDDLE	2700	54	Riverdale
CARVER PRIMARY	2690	54	Riverdale
CASSELL	2720	74	Mount Greenwood
CATHER	6730	27	East Garfield Park
CHALMERS	2740	29	North Lawndale
CHAPPELL	2750	4	Lincoln Square
CHASE	2760	22	Logan Square
CHOPIN	2770	24	West Town
CHRISTOPHER PHY H	2780	63	Gage Park
CLARK MIDDLE SCHO	6620	25	Austin
CLAY	2790	55	Hegewisch
CLEVELAND	2800	16	Irving Park
CLINTON	2810	2	West Ridge
CLISSOLD	2820	75	Morgan Park
COLES	2830	46	South Chicago
COLMAN	2840	38	Grand Boulevard
COLUMBUS	2850	24	West Town
COOK	2860	71	Auburn Gresham
COONLEY	2880	5	North Center
COOPER PRIM INTER	2890	31	Lower West Side
COPERNICUS	2900	67	West Englewood
CORKERY	2910	30	South Lawndale
COSTILLA BR JUNGM	4231	31	Lower West Side
CROWN COMM ACAD	2940	30	South Lawndale
CUFFE	4090	71	Auburn Gresham
CULLEN	4100	49	Roseland
CURTIS	3160	49	Roseland
DARWIN	2960	22	Logan Square
DAVIS	2970	58	Brighton Park
DAWES	2980	70	Ashburn
DECATUR CLASSICAL	2990	2	West Ridge
DELANO	3000	26	West Garfield Park
DENEEN	3010	69	Greater Grand Crossing
DEPRIEST	8050	25	Austin
DETT	6740	28	Near West Side
DEVER	3020	17	Dunning
DEWEY	3030	61	New City

INDEX OF SCHOOLS BY COMMUNITY AREA

School name	Unit#		Community Area
DICKENS CPC	4311	27	East Garfield Park
DIEGO COMM ACAD	7420	24	West Town
DIRKSEN	2950	76	O'Hare
DISNEY MAGNET	8000	3	Uptown
DIXON	3040	44	Chatham
DODGE	3050	27	East Garfield Park
DONOGHUE	6790	36	Oakland
DOOLITTLE INT UPPE	3070	35	Douglas
DOOLITTLE PRIMARY	7620	35	Douglas
DORE	3080	64	Clearing
DOUGLAS COMM ACA	3090	35	Douglas
DOUGLASS MIDDLE	6630	25	Austin
DRAKE	3100	35	Douglas
DRAKE EVGC BR	7340	35	Douglas
DRUMMOND	3120	22	Logan Square
DU BOIS	8010	54	Riverdale
DULLES	6860	42	Woodlawn
DUMAS	6890	42	Woodlawn
DUMAS CPC	6891	42	Woodlawn
DUNNE	6050	49	Roseland
DVORAK	6760	29	North Lawndale
DYETT MIDDLE	8070	40	Washington Park
EARHART BR HOYNE	7450	48	Calumet Heights
EARLE	3130	67	West Englewood
EBERHART	3140	66	Chicago Lawn
EBINGER	3150	9	Edison Park
EDGEBROOK	3170	12	Forest Glen
EDISON COMP GIFT	2220	10	Norwood Park
EDWARDS	3200	57	Archer Heights
EINSTEIN	3210	36	Oakland
ELLINGTON	3220	25	Austin
ELLINGTON BR	3221	25	Austin
EMMET	3230	25	Austin
ERICSON	3240	27	East Garfield Park
ESMOND	3250	75	Morgan Park
EVERETT	3260	59	McKinley Park
EVERS	7990	73	Washington Heights
FALCONER	3270	19	Belmont Cragin
FARADAY	4640	27	East Garfield Park
FARNSWORTH	3280	11	Jefferson Park
FARREN	3300	38	Grand Boulevard
FARREN CPC BR BEAS	6661	40	Washington Park
FERGUSON CPC	4581	8	Near North Side
FERMI	3320	43	South Shore
FERNWOOD	3330	73	Washington Heights
FIELD	3350	1	Rogers Park
FISKE	3360	42	Woodlawn
FLEMING BR	3681	64	Clearing
FORT DEARBORN	3400	73	Washington Heights
FOSTER PARK	3430	71	Auburn Gresham
FRANKLIN MAGNET	3420	8	Near North Side
FRAZIER	7780	29	North Lawndale
FULLER	3440	38	Grand Boulevard
FULTON	3450	61	New City

School name	Unit#		Community Area
FULTON BRANCH	7150	61	New City
FUNSTON	3460	22	Logan Square
GALE COMM ACADE	3480	1	Rogers Park
GALLISTEL LANG AC	3490	52	East Side
GARVEY MARCUS	5420	73	Washington Heights
GARVY JOHN	3510	10	Norwood Park
GARY	3520	30	South Lawndale
GERSHWIN	7080	67	West Englewood
GILLESPIE	3530	49	Roseland
GLADSTONE	3540	28	Near West Side
GOETHALS EVGC	5160	68	Englewood
GOETHE	3560	22	Logan Square
GOLDBLATT	7840	26	West Garfield Park
GOLDSMITH BR LUEL	4551	51	South Deering
GOMPERS	3570	53	West Pullman
GOODLOW MAGNET	3290	67	West Englewood
GOUDY	3590	3	Uptown
GRAHAM	3600	61	New City
GRANT	3610	28	Near West Side
GRAY	3620	15	Portage Park
GREELEY	2730	6	Lake View
GREEN WENDELL	4410	73	Washington Heights
GREENE NATHANAEL	3650	59	McKinley Park
GREGORY	3660	27	East Garfield Park
GRESHAM	3670	71	Auburn Gresham
GRIMES	3680	64	Clearing
GRISSOM	3580	55	Hegewisch
GUGGENHEIM	7050	68	Englewood
GUNSAULUS SCHOL A	3690	58	Brighton Park
HAINES	3700	34	Armour Square
HALE	3710	64	Clearing
HAMILTON	3730	6	Lake View
HAMLINE	3740	61	New City
HAMMOND	3750	30	South Lawndale
HANCOCK BR	7370	66	Chicago Lawn
HANSBERRY CPC	6381	29	North Lawndale
HANSON PARK	4770	19	Belmont Cragin
HARTE	3780	41	Hyde Park
HARTIGAN	3790	35	Douglas
HARVARD	3800	69	Greater Grand Crossing
HAUGAN	3810	14	Albany Park
HAWTHORNE SCHOL	3830	6	Lake View
HAY BRANCH	7040	25	Austin
HAY COMM ACADEM	3840	25	Austin
HAYT	3850	77	Edgewater
HEALY	3880	60	Bridgeport
HEARST	3890	56	Garfield Ridge
HEDGES	3900	61	New City
HEDGES CENTRAL BR	6561	61	New City
HEDGES EAST BR	6560	61	New City
HEDGES WEST BR	3902	61	New City
HEFFERAN	3910	25	Austin
HENDERSON	3920	67	West Englewood
HENDRICKS	3930	37	Fuller Park

INDEX OF SCHOOLS BY COMMUNITY AREA

School name	Unit#	Community Area	
HENRY	3940	16	Irving Park
HENSON	6570	29	North Lawndale
HERBERT	3950	28	Near West Side
HERZL	3970	29	North Lawndale
HIBBARD	4000	14	Albany Park
HIGGINS COMM ACAD	7210	53	West Pullman
HINTON	7250	68	Englewood
HITCH	4010	10	Norwood Park
HOLDEN	4020	60	Bridgeport
HOLMES	4030	68	Englewood
HOPE COMM ACADEM	4130	68	Englewood
HOWE	4060	25	Austin
HOWLAND	4070	29	North Lawndale
HOYNE	4080	48	Calumet Heights
HUGHES CHARLES	4110	29	North Lawndale
HUGHES LANGSTON	8060	49	Roseland
HURLEY	4120	65	West Lawn
INTER-AMERICAN MA	4890	6	Lake View
IRVING	5350	28	Near West Side
IRVING PARK	4150	16	Irving Park
JACKSON LANG ACAD	4690	28	Near West Side
JACKSON MAHALIA	8090	71	Auburn Gresham
JAHN	4170	5	North Center
JAMIESON	4180	2	West Ridge
JEFFERSON	4190	28	Near West Side
JENNER	4200	8	Near North Side
JENSEN SCHOL ACAD	6920	27	East Garfield Park
JOHNSON	6940	29	North Lawndale
JOHNSON CPC	6941	29	North Lawndale
JOPLIN	2330	71	Auburn Gresham
JOYNER CPC	5971	28	Near West Side
JUNGMAN	4230	31	Lower West Side
JUNGMAN BR	4232	31	Lower West Side
KANOON MAGNET	3370	30	South Lawndale
KELLER MAGNET	4960	74	Mount Greenwood
KELLOGG	4240	72	Beverly
KERSHAW	4270	68	Englewood
KEY	4280	25	Austin
KILMER	4300	1	Rogers Park
KING ELEM	4310	27	East Garfield Park
KINZIE	4330	66	Chicago Lawn
KIPLING	4350	73	Washington Heights
KOHN	4360	49	Roseland
KOMENSKY	4370	31	Lower West Side
KOSCIUSZKO	4380	24	West Town
KOZMINSKI COMM AC	4390	41	Hyde Park
LAFAYETTE	4400	24	West Town
LASALLE LANG ACAD	4420	7	Lincoln Park
LATHROP	6750	29	North Lawndale
LAWNDALE COMM A	4430	29	North Lawndale
LEE	7170	65	West Lawn
LEMOYNE	4440	6	Lake View
LENART BR	7240	70	Ashburn
LEWIS	4450	25	Austin
LIBBY	4470	61	New City
LINCOLN	4480	7	Lincoln Park
LINNE	4490	21	Avondale
LLOYD	4500	19	Belmont Cragin
LOCKE	4510	18	Montclare
LOVETT	4530	25	Austin
LOWELL	4540	23	Humboldt Park
LUELLA	4550	51	South Deering
LYON	4560	19	Belmont Cragin
MADISON	4570	43	South Shore
MANIERRE	4580	8	Near North Side
MANN	4610	45	Avalon Park
MARCONI COMM ACA	6590	25	Austin
MARQUETTE	4620	66	Chicago Lawn
MARSH	4630	51	South Deering
MARTI BILINGUAL BR	6340	3	Uptown
MASON	4650	29	North Lawndale
MASON CPC	4651	29	North Lawndale
MAY	4670	25	Austin
MAYER	4680	7	Lincoln Park
MAYO	4700	35	Douglas
MCCLELLAN	4710	60	Bridgeport
MCCORKLE	6820	38	Grand Boulevard
MCCORMICK	4720	30	South Lawndale
MCCOSH	4740	42	Woodlawn
MCCUTCHEON	6910	3	Uptown
MCDADE CLASSICAL	4750	44	Chatham
MCDOWELL BR CALD	7390	48	Calumet Heights
MCKAY	4760	66	Chicago Lawn
MCPHERSON	4800	4	Lincoln Square
MEDILL INT UPPER	4820	28	Near West Side
MEDILL PRIMARY	4810	28	Near West Side
MELODY	7190	26	West Garfield Park
METCALFE MAGNET	3190	53	West Pullman
MILLER CPC	6921	27	East Garfield Park
MITCHELL	4840	24	West Town
MOLLISON	6950	38	Grand Boulevard
MONROE	4850	22	Logan Square
MOOS	4870	24	West Town
MORGAN	4830	71	Auburn Gresham
MORRILL	4880	66	Chicago Lawn
MORSE	4900	23	Humboldt Park
MORTON U C	6800	23	Humboldt Park
MORTON EVGC BR	7410	23	Humboldt Park
MOZART	5000	22	Logan Square
MT GREENWOOD	4940	74	Mount Greenwood
MT VERNON	4980	73	Washington Heights
MULLIGAN	5010	7	Lincoln Park
MURPHY	5020	16	Irving Park
MURRAY LANG ACAD	5030	41	Hyde Park
NANSEN	5040	53	West Pullman
NASH	5050	25	Austin
NEIL	5060	44	Chatham
NETTELHORST	5070	6	Lake View

INDEX OF SCHOOLS BY COMMUNITY AREA

School name	Unit#	Community Area	
NEWBERRY MAGNET	5080	7	Lincoln Park
NIGHTINGALE	5090	63	Gage Park
NINOS HEROES MAGN	3720	46	South Chicago
NIXON	5100	20	Hermosa
NOBEL	5110	23	Humboldt Park
NORWOOD PARK	5120	10	Norwood Park
OGDEN	5150	8	Near North Side
OGLESBY	5170	71	Auburn Gresham
OKEEFFE	5180	43	South Shore
OLIVE CPC	6571	29	North Lawndale
ONAHAN	5190	10	Norwood Park
ORIOLE PARK	5200	10	Norwood Park
OROZCO UPPER CYCL	7610	31	Lower West Side
OTIS	5220	24	West Town
OTOOLE	5230	67	West Englewood
OVERTON	6960	38	Grand Boulevard
OWEN SCHOL ACAD	5240	70	Ashburn
OWENS COMM ACAD	3470	53	West Pullman
PADEREWSKI	6930	30	South Lawndale
PALMER	5260	14	Albany Park
PARK MANOR	5290	42	Woodlawn
PARKER COMM ACAD	5270	68	Englewood
PARKER CPC	5271	68	Englewood
PARKMAN	5280	40	Washington Park
PARKSIDE COMM ACA	5300	43	South Shore
PASTEUR	5310	62	West Elsdon
PEABODY	5330	24	West Town
PECK	5340	62	West Elsdon
PEIRCE	5360	77	Edgewater
PENN	5370	29	North Lawndale
PERRY	5380	47	Burnside
PERSHING MAGNET	5400	35	Douglas
PETERSON	5410	13	North Park
PICCOLO ELEM	5210	23	Humboldt Park
PICKARD	5430	31	Lower West Side
PILSEN COMM ACAD	4210	31	Lower West Side
PIRIE	5440	44	Chatham
PLAMONDON	5450	29	North Lawndale
POE CLASSICAL	5460	50	Pullman
POPE	5480	29	North Lawndale
PORTAGE PARK	5490	15	Portage Park
POWELL	7010	43	South Shore
PRESCOTT	5500	7	Lincoln Park
PRICE	6810	39	Kenwood
PRITZKER	6460	24	West Town
PRUSSING	5510	15	Portage Park
PULASKI	5520	22	Logan Square
PULLMAN	5530	50	Pullman
RANDOLPH MAGNET	3550	67	West Englewood
RASTER	5540	67	West Englewood
RASTER BR	7180	67	West Englewood
RAVENSWOOD	5550	6	Lake View
RAY	5560	41	Hyde Park
RAYMOND	5570	35	Douglas
REAVIS	5580	39	Kenwood
REED	6870	68	Englewood
REILLY	5590	16	Irving Park
REINBERG	5600	15	Portage Park
REVERE	5610	69	Greater Grand Crossing
RIIS	5620	28	Near West Side
ROBINSON BR OAKEN	6780	36	Oakland
ROENTGEN EVGC	7140	27	East Garfield Park
ROGERS	5630	2	West Ridge
ROSENWALD BR	2651	70	Ashburn
ROSS	5650	40	Washington Park
RUGGLES	5660	69	Greater Grand Crossing
RYDER	5670	71	Auburn Gresham
RYERSON	5680	23	Humboldt Park
SABIN MAGNET	7790	24	West Town
SALAZAR BILINGUAL	6720	31	Lower West Side
SAUCEDO MAGNET	4250	30	South Lawndale
SAUGANASH	5690	13	North Park
SAWYER	5710	63	Gage Park
SAYRE LANG ACADE	5720	25	Austin
SBARBARO	6900	44	Chatham
SCAMMON	5730	16	Irving Park
SCANLAN	5740	53	West Pullman
SCHILLER	5760	8	Near North Side
SCHMID	5950	50	Pullman
SCHNEIDER	5790	5	North Center
SCHUBERT	5800	19	Belmont Cragin
SEWARD	5820	61	New City
SEWARD BR	5821	61	New City
SEXTON A O	5830	42	Woodlawn
SHAKESPEARE	5850	39	Kenwood
SHEDD BR BENNETT	2281	49	Roseland
SHERIDAN M MAGNE	4920	60	Bridgeport
SHERIDAN PHIL	5880	46	South Chicago
SHERMAN	5890	61	New City
SHERWOOD	5900	68	Englewood
SHIELDS	5910	58	Brighton Park
SHOESMITH	5920	39	Kenwood
SHOOP	5930	74	Mount Greenwood
SKINNER CLASSICAL	5940	28	Near West Side
SMITH WENDELL	3870	50	Pullman
SMYSER	5960	15	Portage Park
SMYTH	5970	28	Near West Side
SOLOMON	5980	13	North Park
SOUTH LOOP BR	3961	33	Near South Side
SOUTH LOOP SCHOOL	3960	33	Near South Side
SPALDING ELEM PHY	5990	28	Near West Side
SPENCER	6000	25	Austin
SPRY	6010	30	South Lawndale
STAGG	7760	68	Englewood
STEVENSON	6030	70	Ashburn
STEWART	6040	3	Uptown
STOCKTON	6060	3	Uptown
STONE SCHOL ACADE	6070	2	West Ridge

INDEX OF SCHOOLS BY COMMUNITY AREA

School name	Unit#	Community Area	
STOWE	6080	23	Humboldt Park
SUDER	6090	28	Near West Side
SULLIVAN ELEM	6100	46	South Chicago
SUMNER	6110	26	West Garfield Park
SUTHERLAND	6120	72	Beverly
SWIFT	6130	77	Edgewater
TALCOTT	6140	24	West Town
TANNER	6970	69	Greater Grand Crossing
TAYLOR	6150	52	East Side
TERRELL	6840	40	Washington Park
THORP EVGC BR	6181	46	South Chicago
THORP J N	6180	46	South Chicago
THORP O A SCHOL AC	6190	17	Dunning
TILTON	6210	26	West Garfield Park
TONTI	6220	63	Gage Park
TRUMBULL	6230	77	Edgewater
TRUTH PRIMARY	7900	8	Near North Side
TURNER-DREW LANG	3110	49	Roseland
TWAIN	6240	56	Garfield Ridge
VAN VLISSINGEN	6260	49	Roseland
VANDERPOEL MAGNE	6250	72	Beverly
VOLTA	6270	14	Albany Park
VON HUMBOLDT	6280	24	West Town
VON HUMBOLDT CPC	6282	24	West Town
VON STEUBEN U C	6290	14	Albany Park
WACKER	8030	73	Washington Heights
WADSWORTH	6300	42	Woodlawn
WADSWORTH CPC	6301	42	Woodlawn
WALSH	6320	31	Lower West Side
WARD JAMES	6330	35	Douglas
WARD LAURA	5470	23	Humboldt Park
WARREN	6350	48	Calumet Heights
WASHINGTON ELEM	6360	52	East Side
WATERS	6370	4	Lincoln Square
WEBSTER	6380	26	West Garfield Park
WENTWORTH	6390	68	Englewood
WEST PULLMAN	6400	53	West Pullman
WESTCOTT	7260	44	Chatham
WHEATLEY CPC	2691	54	Riverdale
WHISTLER	6420	53	West Pullman
WHITE BR	7440	53	West Pullman
WHITNEY	6440	30	South Lawndale
WHITTIER	6450	31	Lower West Side
WHITTIER BRANCH	7330	31	Lower West Side
WILDWOOD	6470	12	Forest Glen
WILLIAMS	6490	35	Douglas
WIRTH EXP BR KENW	4260	39	Kenwood
WOODSON N	7750	38	Grand Boulevard
WOODSON S	7820	38	Grand Boulevard
WRIGHT	7500	23	Humboldt Park
YALE	6500	69	Greater Grand Crossing
YATES	6510	22	Logan Square
YOUNG	6520	25	Austin

REFERENCES

1. The Chicago School Reform Law, Public Act 85-1418, section 34-1.02 (page 27 of the bound version, September 1989 Update.)

2. An interesting study of this trend was done by Thomas Toch in "The Dark Side of the Excellence Movement," *Phi Delta Kappan, 66,* (November 1984) pp. 173-76.

3. This policy is in effect at the time of writing this report. However, the Chicago Board of Education is in the process of revising the official policy on grade retention.

4. *Elementary School - Criteria for Promotion*, (Chicago: Chicago Public Schools, 1985), p. 2. [italics added]

5. Ibid., p. 3.

6. Ibid, p. 8.

7. David F. Labaree, "Setting the Standard: Alternative Policies for Student Promotion," *Harvard Educational Review, 54* (February 1984), pp.76-77.

8. Ibid., p. 77.

9. Gregg B. Jackson, U. S. Commission on Civil Rights, "The Research Evidence on the Effects of Grade Retention," *Review of Educational Research, 45* (Fall 1975), pp. 613-635.

10. Ibid., p. 627.

11. Ibid.

12. Labaree, p. 78. The studies he cites here are Gregg Jackson (above); Steven Selden, "Promotion Policy," *Encyclopedia of* Educational Research, ed. Harold E. Mitzel, III (New York: Free Press, 1982), pp. 1467-1474; "The Literature on Social Promotion Versus Retention" Unpublished Paper, Southwest Educational Development Laboratory, Sept. 1981; Robert G. Reiter, *The Promotion/Retention Dilemma: What the Research Tells Us*, Report No. 7416 (Philadelphia: Office of Research Evaluation, School District of Philadelphia, 1973); Sydney Thompson, *Grade Retention* and Promotion (Burlingame, Calif.: Association of California School Administrators, 1980); Wadi D. Haddad, *Educational and Economic Effects of Promotion and Repetition Practices*, World Bank Staff Working Paper No. 319. (Washington, D.C.: World Bank, 1979).

13. C. Thomas Holmes, "The Fourth R: Retention," *Journal of Research and Development in Education, 17* (1983), p. 4.

14. C. Thomas Holmes and Kenneth M. Matthews, "The Effects of Nonpromotion on Elementary and Junior High School Pupils: A Meta-Analysis," *Review of Educational Research, 54* (Summer 1984), p. 232.

15. Monica Overman, "Student Promotion and Retention," *Phi Delta Kappan, 67* (April 1986), p. 612.

16. G. Alfred Hess, Jr. and Diana Lauber, *Dropouts From the Chicago Public Schools.* (Chicago: Chicago Panel on Public School Policy and Finance, April 1985), p. 27.

17. E. M. Schulz, R. Toles, W. K. Rice, I. Brauer and J. Harvey, "Association of dropout rates with student attributes," paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco (April 1986). This paper is discussed in detail in an article by James B. Grissom and Lorrie A. Shepard, "Repeating and Dropping Out of School," in *Flunking Grades: Research and Policies on Retention*, ed. Lorrie A. Shepard and Mary Lee Smith (London: Falmer Press; 1989), pp. 39-43.

18. W. K. Rice, R. Toles, E. M. Schulz, J. Harvey and D. L. Foster, "A longitudinal investigation of effectiveness of increased promotion standards at eighth grade on high school graduation," paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Washington, D.C. (April 1987). This was also discussed in "Repeating and Dropping Out of School."

19. Joseph Berger, "Schools May Promote Those With Poor Results on Tests," *New York Times*, May 3, 1990, p. A12.

20. David Sklarz, Deputy Superintendent of Curriculum and Instruction for Charleston County School District, "Keep at-risk students in school by keeping them up to grade level," *American School Board Journal, 176* (September 1989), p. 33-34.

21. Berger, New York Times, p. A12.

22. "Repeating Grades in School: Current Practice and Research Evidence." (New Brunswick, NJ: Center for Policy Research in Education, Eagleton Institute of Politics, Rutgers University, April 1990) contains a good discussion of alternatives to retention.

23. Ibid., p. 5-6.

SUGGESTED READING

Dobbs, Virginia and Donald Neville. (July-Aug. 1967) "The Effect of Nonpromotion on the Achievement Of Groups Matched from Retained First Graders and Promoted Second Graders." *Journal of Educational Research, 60,* pp. 472-475.

Hess, G. Alfred, Jr. and Diana Lauber. (April 1985) *Dropouts from the Chicago Public Schools.* Chicago: Chicago Panel on Public School Policy and Finance.

Hess, G. Alfred, Jr., Arthur Lyons, Lou Corsino and Emily Wells. (June 1989) *Against the Odds: the Early Identification of Dropouts.* Chicago: Chicago Panel on Public School Policy and Finance.

Holmes, C. Thomas. (1983) "The Fourth R: Retention." *Journal of Research and Development in Education, 17,* 1, pp. 1-6.

Holmes, C. Thomas and Kenneth M. Matthews. (Summer 1984) "The Effects of Nonpromotion on Elementary and Junior High School Pupils: A Meta-Analysis." *Review of Educational Research, 54,* pp. 225-236.

Jackson, Gregg B. (Fall 1975) "The Research Evidence on the Effects of Grade Retention." *Review of Educational Research, 45,* pp. 613-635.

Johnson, James R. (May 1984) "Synthesis of Research on Grade Retention and Social Promotion." *Educational Leadership, 41,* pp. 66-68.

Labaree, David F. (Feb. 1984) "Setting the Standard: Alternative Policies for Student Promotion." *Harvard Educational Review, 54,* pp. 67-87.

Niklason, Lucille B. (Oct. 1987) "Do Certain Groups of Children Profit from a Grade Retention." *Psychology in the Schools, 24,* pp. 339-45.

Overman, Monica. (April 1986) "Student Promotion and Retention." *Phi Delta Kappan, 67,* pp. 609-13.

Shepard, Lorrie A. and Mary Lee Smith, eds. (1989) *Flunking Grades: Research and Policies on Retention.* London: Falmer Press, 1989.

Shepard, Lorrie A. and Mary Lee Smith. (Nov. 1988) "Escalating Academic Demand in Kindergarten: Counterproductive Policies." *Elementary School Journal, 89,* pp.135-45.

Shepard, Lorrie A. and Mary Lee Smith. (Nov. 1986) "Synthesis of Research on School Readiness and Kindergarten Retention." *Educational Leadership, 44,* pp. 78-86.

Sklarz, David P. (Sept. 1989) "Keep at-risk students in school by keeping them up to grade level." *American School Board Journal, 176,* pp. 33-34.

Toch, Thomas. (Nov. 1984) "The Dark Side of The Excellence Movement." *Phi Delta Kappan, 66,* pp. 173-76.

PUBLICATIONS OF THE CHICAGO PANEL AND ITS AFFILIATE, METROSTAT

CHICAGO SCHOOL REFORM - RESEARCH AND MONITORING PROJECT

Chicago School Reform: What It Is and How It Came To Be. March 1990.
Teacher Attitudes Toward School Reform. October 1989.
Observing Local School Council Elections. October 1989.

STATE SCHOOL REFORM

Implementing Educational Reform in Illinois. November 1985.
What Are We Willing to Pay for School Reform? May 1985.

DESEGREGATION AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS

The Changing Racial Enrollment Patterns in Chicago's Schools. April 1990
Who Benefits From Desegregation? December 1987.
Who Gets Extra Staff? May 1986.
Recreational Programs in a Time of Fiscal Constraint. January 1985.

DROPOUTS

Against the Odds: The Early Identification of Dropouts. June 1989.
Invisibly Pregnant: Teenage Mothers and the Chicago Public Schools. December 1988.
Bending the Twig: The Elementary Years and Dropout Rates in the Chicago Public Schools. July 1987.
Where's Room 185? How Schools Can Reduce Their Dropout Problem. December 1986.
Dropouts from the Chicago Public Schools. April 1985.

TEACHER MANAGEMENT STUDIES

Teacher Transfers and Classroom Disruption. January 1984.
Class Coverage in the Chicago Public Schools. June 1983.

BUDGET

1987-1988 Assessment of School Site Budgeting Practices of the Chicago Public Schools. August 1988.
1986-1987 Assessment of School Site Budgeting Practice of the Chicago Public Schools. June 1987.
School Budget Hearing Assessment. June 1986.
Revenue Short Falls at the Chicago Board of Education: 1970-1984. June 1984 (updated annually).
Budget Cuts at the Board of Education. July 1982 (updated annually).

OTHER

Assessment of Sara Lee Corporation's Adopt-A-School Program at Harper High School 1982 - 1988. August 1988.
Chicagoans View Their Public Schools. June 1985.

RESOURCE VOLUMES

Chicago Public Schools DataBook, School Year 1988-1989. February 1990.
Directory of Youth Services. December 1989.
1988 METROSTAT DataBook. November 1989.

*For descriptions and costs of the above publications, please request a publication list from the Chicago Panel, (312) 939-2202.